

Managing Landscapes Without Toxic Pesticides

The Need for Local Policy

County Council
Maui County
Wiluku, Hawaii

Jay Feldman
Beyond Pesticides
March 22, 2016



RECEIVED AT PCV MEETING ON 3/22/16
Jay Feldman

The Federal Pesticide Policy Context

Rachel Carson

52th Anniversary of *Silent Spring*

Rachel Carson's landmark book, *Silent Spring*, published in 1962 –53 years ago, has provided us with guiding principles, an affirmation of core values, rooted in scientific understanding of biological systems that are central to the sustainability of our environment and our very existence.

Complex Biological Systems

■ “By their very nature, chemical controls are self-defeating, for they have been devised and applied without taking into account the complex biological systems against which they have been blindly hurled. The chemicals may have been pretested against a few individual species, but not against living communities.”

■ “To assume that we must resign ourselves to turning our waterways into rivers of death is to follow the counsel of despair and defeatism. We must make wider use of alternative methods that are now known, and we must devote our ingenuity and resources to developing others.”

-Rachel Carson, *Silent Spring*

Framework for Moving Forward

Ms. Carson has given us a life-long guide to understanding the effects of chemical-intensive practices, the importance of our relationship to nature, understanding chemical effects at the cellular level and resulting cancer, neurotoxic, genetic, and reproductive effects, and insect and weed resistance to chemical controls.

But, most importantly, she gives us a framework for moving off the chemical treadmill of increasing chemical dependency.

What's In A Pesticide?

Active Ingredients are by nature biologically and chemically active against the target pest, be it an insect or fungus. By definition, these materials kill living things.

Inert Ingredients are often as toxic as the active ingredient, although the law defines these materials as “secret business information.” Inerts, often petrochemicals, like benzene, toluene or xylene, generally make up the largest percentage of a pesticide formulation. Inerts are the solution, dust, or granule in which the active ingredient is mixed. Inerts generally make up the majority of the pesticide product formulation.

Contaminants and impurities are often a part of the pesticide product and are responsible for the product hazards. Dioxins are contaminants in pentachlorophenol, created as a function of the production process.

Metabolites, often more hazardous than the active ingredients, are breakdown products which form when the pesticide mixes with air, water, soil or living organisms.

Assessing FIFRA

The *Federal, Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act* (FIFRA), the nation's primary law facilitating pesticide registration, provides an inadequate framework:

- Risk Assessment Flaws
- Conditional Registration
- Unreasonable Adverse Effects
- Lack of Efficacy/Essentiality Consideration
- Inadequate Labels and Enforcement
- Emergency Exemptions and Special Local Needs

Solutions needed for improved application of FIFRA standards in general, and especially for pollinators.

Assessing FIFRA

- **Risk Assessment Flaws.** Facilitates ‘dose makes the poison’ theory of harm and maximum tolerated dose experimentation – foundation of the regulatory review process. Little to no attention paid to pesticide mixtures, synergy, or low-dose exposures.
- **Conditional Registrations.** Insufficient data under the “identical or substantially similar” procedures; results in lack of required data, little oversight and follow-up.
- **Unreasonable Adverse Effects.** With emphasis on taking into account “economic” costs and benefits, assessments minimize or ignore detrimental impacts on whole ecosystem and externalities.

Complexities Not Addressed



- Mixtures
- Synergistic effects
- Inerts, metabolites and contaminants
- Endocrine disruption
- Assumes 100% compliance
- Arbitrary exposure assumptions
- No monitoring of adverse effects
- Additional margin of safety sometimes arbitrary
- Uncertainties/limitation of risk assessment not disclosed on products

30 Commonly Used Lawn Pesticides Health Effects

- 16 are likely, probable or possible carcinogens
- 17 are known or suspected endocrine disruptors
- 12 are linked to birth defects
- 21 are reproductive toxicants
- 25 cause kidney or liver damage
- 26 are sensitizers/irritants

Parks Department Pesticides

Product	Active Ingredient	Cancer	Endocrine Disruption	Reproductive Effects	Neurotoxicity	Kidney/Liver Damage	Birth/Developmental Impacts	Groundwater Contamination (or runoff)	Toxic to Wildlife
Celsius	<i>Dicamba</i>			X	X	X	X	X	X
	<i>Thiencarbazone-methyl</i>					X			
	<i>Napthalene</i>	X				X		X	
Certainty	<i>Metribuzin</i>		X	X			X		X
Dismiss	<i>Sulfentrazone</i>			X			X		X
Revolver	<i>Formasulfuron (new chemical, little data)</i>					X		X	X (non-target)
	<i>Napthlene</i>	X				X		X	
Sencor	<i>Metribuzin</i>		X	X			X		X
Speedzone Southern	<i>Dicamba</i>			X	X	X	X	X	X
	<i>2,4-D</i>	X		X	X	X	X	X	X
	<i>Carfentrazone-ethyl</i>								X
	<i>Mecoprop</i>	X		X	X	X	X	X	X
Surflan Preemergent	<i>Oryzalin</i>					X	X	X	X

Golf Course Pesticides

Product	Active Ingredient	Cancer	Endocrine Disruption	Reproductive Effects	Neurotoxicity	Kidney/Liver Damage	Birth/Developmental Impacts	Groundwater Contamination	Toxic to Wildlife
Evade Preemergent	Prodiamide	X			X				
Dismiss	Sulfentrazone			X			X		X
MSMA	Monosodium methanearsonate	X		X		X		X	X
Revolver	Formasulfuron (new chemical, little data)					X		X (or runoff)	X (non-target)
	Napthlene	X			X	X		X	
Sencor	Metribuzin		X	X		X	X		X
Specticle Preemergent	Indaziflam				X	X	X	X	X

Public Works Pesticides

Product	Active Ingredient	Cancer	Endocrine Disruption	Reproductive Effects	Neurotoxicity	Kidney/Liver Damage	Birth/Developmental Impacts	Groundwater Contamination	Toxic to Wildlife
Roundup/Aqua-master/Kleen Up Pro	Glyphosate	X	X	X		X			X



30 Commonly Used Lawn Pesticides

- **19 are groundwater contaminants**
- **22 are toxic to birds**
- **30 are toxic to fish and other aquatic life**
- **29 are toxic to bees**



Environmental Impacts

Aquatic microorganisms & plants

– disrupts foundation for aquatic ecosystems

Amphibians – global decline, gender-bending

Fish – kills, intersex & other symptoms of endocrine disruption

Chronic poisoning

- Frog deformities have been linked to a number of pesticides, including atrazine, glyphosate, and other herbicides.



Indirect effects of pesticides

- Herbicides can cause a reduction in habitat or food, such as milkweeds used by monarch butterflies.
- Systemic insecticides can harm pollinators, including honey bees and wild bees.





For More Information...

INFORMATION SERVICES

- Pesticide Gateway
- > **Pesticide-Induced Diseases Database**
- > **Overview**
- Alzheimer's Disease
- Asthma
- Birth Defects
- Body Burden
- Cancer
- Diabetes
- Endocrine Disruption
- Learning/Developmental
- Parkinson's Disease
- Sexual and Reproductive Dysfunction
- Safety Source on Pesticide Providers
- Alternatives Factsheets
- How-To Factsheets
- Eating with a Conscience
- Quarterly Magazine: Pesticides and You
- Daily News
- State Pages
- YouTube Channel



Pesticide-Induced Diseases Database

The common diseases affecting the public's health are all too well-known in the 21st century: **asthma, autism and learning disabilities, birth defects and reproductive dysfunction, diabetes, Parkinson's and Alzheimer's** diseases, and several types of **cancer**. Their connection to pesticide exposure continues to strengthen despite efforts to restrict individual chemical exposure, or mitigate chemical risks, using risk assessment-based policy.

The *Pesticide-Induced Diseases Database*, launched by Beyond Pesticides, facilitates access to epidemiologic and laboratory studies based on real world exposure scenarios that link public health effects to pesticides. The scientific literature documents elevated rates of chronic diseases among people exposed to pesticides, with increasing numbers of studies associated with both specific illnesses and a range of illnesses. With some of these diseases at very high and, perhaps, epidemic proportions, there is an urgent need for public policy at all levels—local, state, and national—to end dependency on toxic pesticides, replacing them with carefully defined green strategies.

The current database, which contains hundreds of studies, itself is preliminary and will be added to over the coming months. **We urge readers to send studies to info@beyondpesticides.org that you think should be added to the database.**



Data Supports Policy Change

The database is a tool to support efforts to eliminate the continued use of hazardous pesticides in favor of green strategies that emphasize non-toxic and least-toxic alternative practices and products. The studies in the database show that our current approach to restricting pesticide use through risk assessment-based mitigation measures is not working. This failed human experiment must be ended. The warnings of those who have expressed concerns about risk assessment, such as EPA Administrator under Presidents Nixon and Reagan, William Ruckelshaus, have been borne out by three decades of use and study. Mr. Ruckelshaus in 1984 said, "We should remember that risk assessment data can be like the captured spy: if you torture it long enough, it will tell you anything you want to know." EPA's risk assessment fails to look at chemical mixtures, synergistic effects, certain health endpoints (such as endocrine disruption), disproportionate effects to vulnerable population groups, and regular noncompliance with product label directions. These deficiencies contribute to its severe limitations in defining real world poisoning, as captured by epidemiologic studies in the database.

An enlightened policy approach to proposed or continued toxic chemical use, in an age where the adverse effects have been widely and increasingly documented, is to first ask whether there is a less toxic way of achieving the toxic chemical's intended purpose. Simply, "Is there another practice that would make the substance unnecessary?" This approach does not preclude and should demand the prohibition of high hazard chemical use, those chemicals that are simply too dangerous.

The alternatives assessment approach differs most dramatically from a risk assessment-based policy in rejecting uses and exposures deemed acceptable under risk assessment calculations, but unnecessary because of the availability of safer alternatives. In agriculture, where the database shows clear links to pesticide use and cancer, it would no longer be possible to use ha pesticides, as it is with risk assessment-based policy, when there are clearly effective organic systems with competitive yi fact, outperform chemical-intensive agriculture in drought years. Cost comparisons must take into account externalities su



Pesticide-Induced Disease Database
<http://www.beyondpesticides.org/health>

Pesticide-Induced Diseases

Beyond Pesticides' **Pesticide-induced Diseases Database** includes:

- Over 760 entries of studies that link labeled uses of pesticides (as instructed) to public health diseases:
- cancer, reproductive problems, neurological and immune system damage, Alzheimer's, Parkinson's, diabetes, asthma, and learning disabilities.
- When a Stanford University study finds, as it did in September, 2013 that the body burden of dozens of pesticides that we now all carry are not clinically linked to adverse effects, researchers need to be asked to study the wealth of epidemiologic studies that link use to disease.

Assessing FIFRA

- **Lack of Regulatory Attention to Pesticide Efficacy.** While efficacy data must be developed by registrants, only efficacy data for public health uses are reviewed. Marketplace determines benefits.
- **Labels and Use Designations Become the Default Safety Standards.** Cautionary label information on timing of application does little to prevent long-term, sublethal and residual effects. Compliance enforcement lacking.
- **Experimental and Emergency Exemptions.** Allows unregistered pesticide uses on a case-by-case basis for “significant economic loss,” even though reasons for use, such as pest resistance, is predictable.

At Odds with International Findings

- **Glyphosate (Roundup)**


Most widely used herbicide. Classified as causing cancer in humans based on laboratory animal studies by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (WHO), March 2015.

- **Neonicotinoid Insecticides**

Most widely neonics determined to pose unacceptable hazards to bees by the European Union, European Food Safety Authority; suspended use in agriculture, 2013.

The Importance of Local Action

Map of State Preemption Laws



Local Authority

- **Local Authority Upheld under FIFRA.** U.S. Supreme Court in 1991 ruled that FIFRA does not preempt local jurisdictions from restricting the use of pesticides more stringently than the federal government.
- **State preemption laws** effectively deny local protection when a community decides that minimum standards set by state and federal law are insufficient. While seven states do not preempt local authority, 43 have one of the following:
 - **Explicit preemption.** Twenty-nine states have nearly identical preemption law that explicitly preempts.
 - **Limited preemption.** Fourteen delegate regulatory authority to state authority.



Incentivizing Safer

- **Incentivize** use of non-toxic systems, with acceptable materials.

OR

- **Institutionalize** products and practices with acceptable risks -based on risk assessments with inherent limitations.

A Systems Approach: Organic Foods Protection Act (OFPA)

- Requires Organic Systems Plans for agricultural producers, evaluated through certification system; default against synthetic inputs; establishes *National List of Allowed and Prohibited Substances* –compatible synthetic inputs based on life cycle analyses, protecting against adverse health and environmental effects.
- Operates with definition: “Organic agriculture is an ecological production management system that promotes and enhances biodiversity, biological cycles and soil biological activity. It is based on minimal use of off-farm inputs and on management practices that restore, maintain and enhance ecological harmony.”




Conventional | chemical- intensive vs. Organic



Washington State University 2016 Study: Numerous benefits from organic production

An organic turfgrass system also:

- Improves soil quality ■ Minimizes energy use ■ Increases biodiversity ■ Minimizes water pollution
- Minimizes pesticide residues ■ Reduces worker exposure to pesticide residues ■ Improves ecosystem services ■ Equal or less cost in the long term while maintaining quality landscapes





Advocacy for Pollinators in the FIFRA & Organic Framework

- Advocate for improved applications of FIFRA standards and actions by EPA's Pesticide Program
Comments, petitions, and litigation advancing more protective and precautionary applications of the standards and pesticide restrictions.
- Utilize and advocate for local authority
- Increase federal and state enforcement
- Increase reporting efforts to EPA
- Congressional action
- Advocate for organic practices and policies and protect organic standard

Montgomery County, Maryland Safe Grow Act



- **Restricts pesticides on private and public lawns to organic compatible materials.**
- **Allowed Materials List.** The Executive must include in the regulations adopted under this section a list of acceptable pesticides, known as the Allowed Materials List. The list is limited to:
 - (A) Allowed materials under 7 CFR 205.602 that implements the Organic Foods Production Act;
 - (B) 25b listed pesticides under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA).
- **Education Campaign.** Requires informational material where pesticides are sold. PSA campaign over radio, public television, transportation, etc.

Takoma Park, Maryland Safe Grow Act



- Generally restricts the use of cosmetic lawn pesticides on both private and public property throughout Takoma Park based on hazard criteria.
- Requires the town to create and maintain educational material to ease the transition to sustainable land management.

Ogunquit, Maine Pesticide Ordinance



- Prohibits “the use or application of chemical pesticides,” except for those classified by EPA as exempt under FIFRA list 25b and those permitted by the Organic Materials Review Institute on private and public property.
- Defines natural lawn care as, “An extension of the principles and practices of organic agriculture to the care of turf and landscape.”
- Exemptions for agriculture, disinfectants, swimming pool supplies, etc.



Local Government Initiative Town of Greenwich, CT

The Town of Greenwich, absent any of the exceptions to the general rule set forth below, shall not use any pesticides or herbicides which are classified or designated, or which contain any substances which are classified or designated as follows:

California Prop 65
Highly acutely toxic, Restricted use
Cancer causing
Endocrine disruptor, reproductive toxin
Adverse impact on organ systems




Camden, Maine Pest Management Policy



- Establishes “allowable products” to be used on town-owned land.
- Creates a pesticide advisory committee to assist with program implementation.
- Requires personnel involved in landscape maintenance or outdoor pest control to receive regular training on organic land care.

Brunswick, Maine Groundwater Preservation Policy



- Focuses on the protection of groundwater from pesticides
- Prohibits “the use or application of chemical pesticides,” except for those classified by EPA as exempt under FIFRA list 25b and those permitted by the Organic Materials Review Institute on private and public property.
- Creates a pesticide advisory committee to assist with program implementation.

Cuyahoga County, Ohio

IPM Ordinance

(Ordinance No. 0211-0047)



- Prohibits the use of pesticides on property owned by the county.
- Exempts the use of larvicides and rodenticides as public health measures or by a mandatory finding by the Department of Public Works (DPW).
- Adopts an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) program for the county and requires DPW to provide training in IPM.

Irvine, California

Organic Amendment to IPM Policy



- Prioritizes the use of organic pesticides on parks, fields, playgrounds, and other City properties and rights of way
- Permits the use of EPA registered pesticides only when deemed necessary to protect public health or economic loss, and other methods have proven ineffective

Growing Trend Across the Country

Beyond Pesticides' "Tools for Change" (bit.ly/Tools4change) highlights 50+ community initiatives that reduce or eliminate pesticide use in land care (non-comprehensive list).

The screenshot shows the Beyond Pesticides website with a navigation bar at the top containing 'DONATE', 'JOIN', and 'SHOP' buttons, along with a search bar and social media icons. The main content area is divided into two columns. The left column features a sidebar with categories like 'Diseases', 'National Pesticide Forum', and 'Organic Agriculture'. The main text in the left column discusses 'Local Organic Land Management, IPM and Pesticide Reduction Policies and Programs' and lists various local initiatives. The right column continues the list with 'King County, WA' and 'Pesticide-Free Parks', providing details on transparency and community actions. At the bottom right, there is a 'BEYOND PESTICIDES' logo.

Local Organic Land Management, IPM and Pesticide Reduction Policies and Programs
 An Organic Land Management program, or a strong integrated pest management (IPM) definition and policy is one of the best ways to minimize or eliminate exposure to pesticides. IPM is a term that is used loosely with many different definitions and methods of implementation. Beyond Pesticides defines IPM as a program of prevention, monitoring, and control which offers the opportunity to eliminate or drastically reduce pesticides, and to minimize the toxicity of and exposure to any products which are used. Education, in the form of workshops, training sessions and written materials, is an essential component of an IPM program. Concrete examples of IPM strategies and pesticide reduction policies have been implemented in the following localities

- **Model Ordinance for Public Property.**
 - Applicable to all localities in the United States, but only restricts pesticide use on publicly owned property. If you live in one of the **21 states that are not explicitly pre-empted** by state pesticide policy we encourage you to use the *Model Ordinance for Public and Private Property*, below.
- **Model Ordinance for Public and Private Property.**
 - Applicable only to **localities in seven states that are not preempted** from adopting local ordinances and **14 states** which have the potential authority to create stronger pesticide regulations.
- **Takoma Park, MD, and Ogunquit, ME** banned cosmetic lawn pesticides on both public property and private, residential property throughout the city.
 - Beyond Pesticides **worked with community members** in Takoma Park to help pass the *Safe Grow Act*, and also created educational materials for the city in order to implement the ordinance. See Beyond Pesticides' model implementation plan [here](#).
- California has been a bastion for growing city-wide IPM and Pesticide Reduction policies and programs:

Arcata	San Francisco	San Diego	Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz
Santa Monica	Marin	Fairfax	Berkeley	Davis
Contra Costa	Corte Madero	Richmond		
- These trends have been supported around the nation including:
 - Plainville, CT
 - Cuyahoga County, OH
 - Durango, CO
 - Madison-Dane County (weed and feed product ban)
 - Marblehead, MA (organic land care policy)
 - Newton, MA
 - Wellesley, MA
 - Wellfleet, MA (Selectmen's meeting minutes, see p. 3)
 - Bernards' Township, NJ
 - Carrboro, NC
 - Vorhees, NJ
 - Santa Fe, NM
 - Albany, NY
 - New York City, NY
 - Eugene, OR

King County, WA (County-wide)

- **Pesticide-Free Places:** In order to provide citizens with the utmost level of transparency, communities across the country are beginning to put their pesticide free zones on a real-time map! Find outdoor areas in King County managed pesticide-free or pesticide-reduced to protect people, water and wildlife. This interactive map lists over 800 parks, gardens, trails and natural areas in King County. Including, of course, parks in the City of Shoreline and the City of Seattle.
- **Olympia, WA** (PBT and pesticide reduction city policy)
- **Seattle, WA** (City Program)
- **Washington, DC** ("Pesticide Education and Control Amendment Act of 2012")
- **Greenbelt, MD**

Pesticide-Free Parks

A growing number of communities across the country, concerned about pesticide impacts to public health, the environment, and wildlife, are taking actions that you can replicate in your own city or town. From the north shore of Massachusetts to San Diego, CA, municipalities are establishing pesticide-free parks, piloting organic playing fields, passing policies that restrict pesticides on municipal lands, or voluntarily for private land.

- Some of the most promising examples of pesticide free parks include:
 - Eastwood Park in Mill Valley, CA
 - Fairfax, CA
 - Santa Barbara, CA
 - Corte Madera, CA
 - Plainville, CT
 - Sarasota, FL
 - Lawrence, KS
 - **Marblehead, MA** (Town-owned land)
 - Brick, NJ
 - Hazlet, NJ
 - Chatham, NJ
 - Ocean City, NJ
 - Wichita, KS
 - Portland, OR
 - Seattle, WA
 - Shoreline, WA
 - Camden, ME
 - Vorhees, NJ
 - Rockport, ME
 - Rockland County, NY
 - New Paltz, NY
 - Westchester County, NY
 - Branford, CT (*The Sounds* article).

State Policies

- Connecticut - Proposed 2005 Act Concerning Municipal Restriction of Lawn Care Pesticides
- New York - Child Safe Playing Fields Act Passed in 2010 (See Education Law 409-k for schools; Social

Beyond Pesticides' Organic Land Care Program

Initiated by an action plan:

Organic Land Management Action Plan
[XXX Community]

Grantee: [XXX]
Contact: [XXXX]

Funding:
Cost of Project: \$ 3,500
Funds Requested from Beyond Pesticides: \$[XXXX]
Community Contribution: \$[XXXX]

Services to be funded: 1 day long 8 hour training by Osborne Organics (or an equivalent service provider with knowledge and experience in organic turf and landscape management)

Expected Outcomes

Trained staff and administrators – a 1 day training for administrators and staff of XXX focused on the concepts and techniques to convert traditional and IPM land management to organic.

This training is the first step in implementation of a strategy to adopt organic land management practices by:

1. Enabling decision makers to understand the concepts, challenges, strategies, benefits and outcomes of going organic to enable them to set a new community policy
2. Preparing the staff and decision makers to participate in the development and implementation of a possible transition plan to be facilitated by Osborne Organics (or an equivalent service provider with knowledge and experience in organic turf and landscape management) to chemical free management of public lands.

Beyond Pesticides will contribute up to 100% of the cost of this training. The organization is encouraging the community to conduct this training and is willing to provide financial support for this action plan to make the transition. We ask that the community commit at least two public spaces to this approach.

The community will work with the service provider to conduct the following activities related to turf management:

- Document existing conditions
- Determine site expectations.
- Test soil and analyze nutrient, textural, and soil microbial life
- Assess current and past management practices, both cultural and product.
- Provide access to records on material and product use
- Formulate action and management plan and report to transition in an area of the community choosing.
- Help develop contract specification for the related work that the community contracts out
- Receive technical assistance through part of the first year of transition.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

To initiate the action plan, a signature from a government official responsible for landscape management in XXX is required.

- Aims to support the successful implementation of an organic land care ordinance.
- Provides for:
 - Training in systems-based approach to natural land care
 - Specifically tailored management plan at designated demonstration sites, based on:
 - Site walk-through and evaluation
 - Soil sampling for structural, chemical, and biological composition of the soil
 - Questionnaire on current management practices
- Ongoing consultation/technical support on as-needed basis
- Not a service provider or bidding on county work
- Not selling a specific product – focus is on creating a healthy, resilient soil system that resists weed and pest pressure, not replacing one pesticide for another.

Rachel Carson

Can anyone believe it is possible
to lay down such a barrage of poisons
on the surface of the earth
without making it unfit for all life?

Contact

Jay Feldman
Executive Director
Beyond Pesticides
701 E Street SE
Washington DC 20003
202-543-5450

jfeldman@beyondpesticides.org
www.beyondpesticides.org