

June 14, 2018

Kelly T. King, Planning Committee Chair  
(PC-2) MOLOKAI COMMUNITY PLAN UPDATE

My name is Greg Jenkins and I am providing this written testimony as a Molokai CPAC Member regarding County of Maui Council Planning Committee Agenda Item PC-2 MOLOKAI COMMUNITY PLAN UPDATE.

The testimony provided herein amends my previous written testimony submitted on February 15, 2018; and, is focused on two main areas of concern related to your discussions/deliberations on today's agenda:

1. Proposed Council changes to the PD, CPAC, MoPC DRAFT Molokai Community Plan Update implementing actions DRAFT, Chapter 10 Implementation and Monitoring (Proposed Chapter 12 of Maui County Council Draft)
2. Inclusion of Updated Lanai Community Plan: Appendix 9.2 Comparison of Lanai Community Plan Land Use Designations and Typical County Zoning District into Appendix 6.1 of the Molokai Plan.

Based upon the past public consultation process and review by the CPAC, MoPC of the PD, CPAC, MoPC DRAFT Molokai Community Plan Update, and in consideration of how rushed the CPAC was in the one meeting dedicated to rapidly prioritize Chapter 10 Implementation and Monitoring (Proposed Chapter 12 of Maui County Council Draft); and in consideration of the public community input facilitation meeting efforts by Planning Committee Chair Kelly King that were well attended by the community and by Molokai Council Member Stacy Crivello; and through further personal comprehensive review of the content and context of existing conditions, issues, goals, policies and actions for each Chapter, I am now willing to support a Council decision to re-prioritize Chapter 10 Implementation and Monitoring (Proposed Chapter 12 of Maui County Council Draft) with the understanding that none of the original action items or descriptions would be deleted.

I support the Council's authority to make changes to the plan at this time in the process and with consideration of the additional community consultation and input. I clearly also understand that we have an existing process in place to request future community plan amendments to change, if necessary, any implementing action priorities in Chapter 10 Implementation and Monitoring (Proposed Chapter 12 of Maui County Council Draft) if the final version of the plan did not get the priorities right. I still believe it is important to ask the Council to carefully consider that the implementing action priorities for the PD, CPAC, MoPC DRAFT Molokai Community Plan Update directly connect/relate to Molokai Appendix 6.1 and Lanai Appendix 9.2.

*Committee Chair King*

RECEIVED AT PC MEETING ON 07-05-18

I appreciate the action oriented due diligence by Planning Committee Chair Kelly King who took to time to travel to Molokai on multiple occasions to actually facilitate community dialogue and focused input to improve the Molokai Community Plan Update (Approximately 7 times Chair King traveled to Molokai for Public and other meetings concerning the Molokai CP Updated, unprecedented!). Chair King has stated to me on multiple occasions that, "It is the Molokai Community's Plan, it's their plan and it should represent their input!" With Chair King's actions combined with Molokai Council Member Crivello's and the Molokai Community's input, I feel confident that the Planning Committee and whole Council will proceed wisely.

Based upon past public consultation process and review by the CPAC and MoPC of the PD, CPAC, MoPC DRAFT Molokai Community Plan Update, and comprehensive review of the content and context of existing conditions, issues, goals, policies and actions for each Chapter I am not in support for amending Appendix 6.1 Comparison of State Land Use and County Community Plan Designations and Zoning Districts of the PD, CPAC, MoPC DRAFT Molokai Community Plan Update with language from Appendix 9.2 Comparison of Lanai Community Plan Land Use Designations and Typical County Zoning District of the Updated Lanai Community Plan.

Appendix 9.2 of the Updated Lanai Community Plan contains some language that is inconsistent and contrary to decisions made by the Molokai CPAC and MoPC as it relates to language in the same corresponding section of the PD, CPAC, MoPC DRAFT Molokai Community Plan Update. As a Molokai CPAC member I recall discussions during some meetings regarding the Lanai Appendix 9.2 language; but, as a CPAC we never fully deliberated or adopted the language of Appendix 9.2 into Molokai's Appendix 6.1 for specific reasons. Please see the following example.

EXAMPLE:

Mixed Use Residential Lanai Language is not compatible with PD, CPAC, MoPC DRAFT Molokai Community Plan Update, especially description/definition under "Typical County Zoning Districts and Uses Envisioned, " Until a new Mixed-Use Residential zoning district is established in the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance, a mixture of existing residential apartment, park, business, and public/quasi-public zoning **would correspond with and implement this community plan land use designation.**" In Molokai Plan the Lanai language was intentionally left out as to avoid implementation of this specific land use on Molokai until it was properly vetted through community consultation process for Molokai and established in the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance. This may be a back door effort to force this type land use designation, envisioned uses and corresponding implementation for Molokai. Any new/added/amended language to Appendix 6.1 of the PD, CPAC, MoPC DRAFT Molokai Community Plan Update that creates "implementing powers of/to the plan" not previously enumerated in the Plan Update or existing County Code, such

as Title 19, is suspect and needs to be vetted in proper content and context by the Molokai community.

If you have any questions or require more information please do not hesitate to contact me at 808.271.8722.

Mahalo for your consideration of this testimony.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Greg Jenkins", written in a cursive style.

Greg Jenkins  
Molokai CPAC Member  
Former Molokai CPAC Member, January 2018?