

Water Authority, Social Services, and Parks Committee (2025-2027) on 2026-06-01 9:00 AM

Meeting Time: 06-01-26 09:00

eComments Report


Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
Water Authority, Social Services, and Parks Committee (2025-2027) on 2026-06-01 9:00 AM	06-01-26 09:00	3	1	0	0	1

Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment

Support (0%) Oppose (0%) Neutral (100%)
No Response (0%)





Water Authority, Social Services, and Parks Committee (2025-2027) on 2026-06-01 9:00 AM
06-01-26 09:00

Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
WASSP-1(20) OVERVIEW OF PROPOSED COMMUNITY RESILIENCE AND YOUTH DEVELOPMENT CAMPUS (WASSP-1(20))	1	0	0	1


Sentiments for All Agenda Items

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment



Overall Sentiment



Edward Codelia

Location:

Submitted At: 2:11pm 05-29-26

I support youth programs, mental-health support, sports, workforce training, and resilience infrastructure. But Maui residents have seen too many projects introduced with noble language before the financial, land-use, water, and infrastructure realities are disclosed. Before this Council gives political oxygen to a 320-acre proposal, the public deserves full transparency on ownership, financing, infrastructure costs, public subsidies, future entitlements, and who ultimately benefits.

Who owns the 320 acres, and who controls the development entity?

Is the County being asked for land, money, grants, tax exemptions, infrastructure, zoning help, or future operating subsidies?

Will this require water, wastewater, roadway, drainage, police, fire, EMS, or school-related infrastructure upgrades?

Is this really a youth/community project, or is it a land-use project using youth programming as the public-facing justification?

What percentage of the campus will be nonprofit/public benefit versus revenue-generating private use?

Will local youth, Upcountry families, low-income families, and working-class families have guaranteed access, or will fees make it another facility locals cannot afford?

Will any housing, commercial, hotel, event, or private lease components be added later?

Who pays for long-term maintenance and operations?

Has there been any environmental, traffic, water, wildfire, or evacuation analysis yet?

Why is this coming through WASSP before the public sees a complete business plan?

Thanks for your consideration.