APT Committee

From: scott werden <scottwerden@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, March 1, 2021 3:15 PM

To: APT Committee **Cc:** Lucienne de naie

Subject: Document for Tuesday's APT meeting (3/2/2021, 1:30pm)

Attachments: HCA Comments on WUDP.pdf

The attached document is from the Ha'iku Community Association. It is the same document we sent to CM Sinenci last week. Lucienne de Naie will be referring to it in her testimony.

Mahalo!

Scott Werden HCA Water Committee

Ha'iku Community Association

Committee on Community Water Planning

February 25, 2021

Executive Summary

We are informed that water planning is taking place that is using out-of-date data and/or data without sufficient factual basis to warrant its inclusion in the final Maui County WUDP without cautionary notes or a disclaimer. We believe reliance on such data is contrary to public trust management responsibilities and contrary to the law. This report urges suggested amendments to the Draft WUDP dated March 2019 with its included Addendum dated July 24, 2020. We recommend specific research efforts that we believe need to occur in order to allay our community concerns.

We focus on three topical areas: Legal Responsibility to Assess Ko'olau Water Data Quality, Upcountry Water Meters, and Management of East-Maui water. All referenced page numbers are the PDF page.

Note: We recommend that the Addendum of July 24, 2020 be merged into the body of the WUDP in order to address contradictions.

Legal Responsibility to Assess Ko'olau Water Data Quality

Water in Hawai'i is a *Public Trust* resource. Those who have the authority to manage and plan for it are its Trustees. Trustees have a fiduciary duty, that is the highest duty, to exercise the greatest care for the resource entrusted to them.

[T]he public trust creates an "affirmative duty" of the State and its political subdivisions "to take the public trust into account in the planning and allocation of water resources, and to

protect public trust uses whenever feasible." Kauai Springs, Inc. v. Planning Comm'n of Cty. of Kauai, 133 Haw. 141, 172, 324 P.3d 951, 982 (2014)

A Trustee cannot allocate the distribution of trust resources or assets without a comprehensive inventory of the assets. This is the law of Hawai'i and obedience to it is not an option.

In the context of water management and planning this means that a trustee has a legal duty to have significant, substantial, professionally accepted, and reliable data to support decisions.

The Hawai'i Supreme Court has squarely placed the burden of providing sufficient reliable data on those seeking exportation or diversion of water. In this case, the County DWS is responsible for providing that data, yet the Draft WDUP does not acknowledge that the Ha'iku aquifer has no stream flow monitoring gauges; no rainfall measurement stations; one monitor well that is not consistently tracked; no current data on groundwater discharge or coastal fisheries and no firm data on aquifer capacity and safe yield. It instead assumes in all its major projections that 8 MGD of groundwater will be transported from Ha'iku aquifer and some future 'studies' will be done. Since any entity seeking to use public trust resources is "obligated to demonstrate affirmatively that the proposed [use] [will] not affect [a protected use]," the WUDP needs to be amended to reflect the uncertainty of the data available and not conclude that the Ha'iku aquifer will be the future water source for Central and South Maui.

Upcountry Water Meter Priority List

The WUDP has vague, outdated (2014) information on the actual numbers of meter reservations still outstanding and the number of meter requests that are fulfilled and being served by the present system. It also is not clear about the breakdown in service areas (Ha'iku, Makawao, Kula) and types of service needed (new single family, new subdivision or second dwelling on existing lot.) All of this specific information is needed to have a clear policy to solve the shortage of water meters in Ha'iku and other upcountry areas. The county has records of how many new meters have been issued since 2014. WUDP tables and text need to be amended with the updated information and a new table added to reflect the breakdown of the future demand.

Management of East-Maui Water

For the last 50 years the county of Maui has contracted with a private company, A&B/EMI, to "deliver" water from public lands to the upcountry system. A number of long-term Maui DWS goals depend upon future water delivery agreements with A&B, but over a 20-year horizon, the WUDP should discuss a more self-sufficient approach to management of publicly owned East Maui surface waters. A Temporary Investigative Group ("TIG") was set up by Maui Board of Water Supply in 2019 to review options and a TIG report was released in October 2019. A table should be created and amended to the WUDP, to offer analyses of county's options based upon the TIG report.

Ha'iku Community Association Comments on the Draft Maui WUDP

Obligation to Gather Reliable Water Data of Ko'olau Aquifer Sector

Water in Hawai'i is a Public Trust resource. Those who have the authority to manage and plan for it are its Trustees. Trustees have a fiduciary duty, that is the highest duty, to exercise the greatest care for the resource entrusted to them.

[T]he public trust creates an "affirmative duty" of the State and its political subdivisions "to take the public trust into account in the planning and allocation of water resources, and to protect public trust uses whenever feasible."

Kauai Springs, Inc. v. Planning Comm'n of Cty. of Kauai, 133 Haw. 141, 172, 324 P.3d 951, 982 (2014)

A Trustee cannot allocate the distribution of trust resources or assets without a comprehensive inventory of the assets. This is the law of Hawai'i and obedience to it is not an option.

Courts have gone to unusual pains to emphasize the abstract and hypothetical character of the reasonable and prudent person. He is not to be identified with any ordinary individual who might occasionally do unreasonable things; he is a prudent and careful person who is always up to standard. Nor is it proper to identify him with any member of the very jury which is to apply the standard; he is rather a personification of a community ideal of reasonable behavior, determined by the jury's social judgment.

Knodle v. Waikiki Gateway Hotel, Inc., 69 Haw. 376, 742 P.2d 377 (1987)

In the context of water management and planning this means that a trustee has a legal duty to have significant, substantial, professionally accepted, and reliable data to support decisions.

Apparently, the Hawai'i Supreme Court has squarely placed the burden of providing sufficient reliable data on those seeking exportation or diversion of water.

Under the foregoing principles and purposes of the public trust, it is manifest that a government body is precluded from allowing an applicant's proposed use to impact the public trust in the absence of an affirmative showing that the use does not conflict with those principles and purposes. Therefore, the applicant is "obligated to demonstrate affirmatively that the proposed

[use] [will] not affect [a protected use]," Wai'ola O Moloka'i, 103 Hawai'i at 442, 83 P.3d at 705 (emphases omitted). In other words, "the absence of evidence that the proposed use would affect [a protected use] [is] insufficient[.]" Id. (emphasis added). Kauai Springs has asserted "the public trust doctrine imposes a duty to assess, but does not empower an agency to deny an application simply because it claims it lacks information within its power to obtain, thus shifting the burden to the applicant." However, contrary to Kauai Springs' assertion, a lack of information from the applicant is exactly the reason an agency is empowered to deny a proposed use of a public trust resource.

Kauai Springs, Inc. v. Planning Comm'n of Cty. of Kauai, 133 Haw. 141, 174, 324 P.3d 951, 984 (2014)

This Hawai'i Supreme Court decision squarely places the burden on the applicant to supply sufficient reliable information to each Public Trust resource trustee to enable the trustee to exercise the fiduciary duty to protect the resource.

The Ha'iku community does not believe sufficient up-to-date and reliable data has been developed to assure its residents that the pumping of ground water in Ha'iku will not negatively impact local domestic and farm use of springs, streams, and wells. The community respectfully submits that while the WUDP promises to'' do studies on Haiku aquifer sometime in the future, it is also proposing that water from Haiku be available to the central Maui water system by 2030. There is no clear description of what range of information these future studies will cover. There is no alternative source of water proposed in the WUDP to supply the projected future need. The proposed timing of the Haiku water being available in the WUDP suggests that up-to-date, reliable, quality information on the Haiku aquifer had already been being gathered to create a full picture of the aquifer characteristics over a period of time. Haiku residents remind that each aquifer area is unique and long term data gathering is the only reliable means to acquire the aquifer information that must be developed and incorporated to assure responsible water resource planning.

SPECIFIC WUDP DRAFT SECTIONS TO AMEND

WUDP Section	Suggested changes
Section ES 5.3 Ko'olau	This section refers to the Ha'iku Aquifer sustainable yield of 24
Aquifer Sector Summary	MGD. This is a greater potential yield than the 'Iao aquifer, yet
	the geological conditions of the Iao aquifer are far more favorable
(page 8)	to effective storage and retention of groundwater, than those in the
	Ha'iku aquifer. These factors include:
	'Iao aquifer has a limestone capstone layer near the coast
	that helps create robust water levels.
	Ha'iku has no such formation and the Ha'iku aquifer
	waters flow freely to the sea nourishing rich fisheries.
	'Iao Aquifer is richly forested above the well fields, with
	little or no developed lands, contributing to effective capture of
	rain and aquifer recharge.
	The mid-range of Ha'iku aquifer above the proposed well
	field is more open pasture and rural neighborhoods, limiting the
	effectiveness of rainfall recharge.
	' Iao aquifer is directly downslope of a high rainfall area
	(Max 300 in to min 55 in year) . Ha'iku aquifer area is down
	slope of a moderate rainfall area (max 115 in- min 30 in year)
	'Iao aquifer wells have no farming or residential
	development upslope. The water produced has now chemical
	contamination. The proposed Ha'iku wellfield would be
	downslope of past and present agricultural and grazing fields, as
	well as residential developments using individual wastewater

WUDP Section	Suggested changes
	systems. Many Ha'iku domestic wells have been found to have
	high levels of toxic chemicals. Future County wells in Ha'iku
	aquifer will face a similar risk of possible contamination.
	The text should be amended to reflect that it is currently
	unknown whether the Ha'iku aquifer can deliver that SY. See
	Section 16 for further comments on this.
Section 5.3 Water	This section refers to a USGS report: Spatially Distributed
Resources	Groundwater Recharge Estimated Using a Water Budget Model
(page 151)	for The Island of Maui, Hawai`i, 1978-2007.
	The report identifies ground-surface water interactions as a factor
	in sustainable yield calculation, but this is not discussed in the
	WUDP. The WUDP should be amended to explicitly state that
	ground-surface water interactions, including the effect of
	restoration of Ha'iku aquifer stream flows, need to be examined
	for Ko'olau aquifer sector.
Section 5.4	The section discusses Developable Yield (DY) but it does not
Groundwater	provide hard numbers. We strongly believe that two things
Availability	need to be added to this section: (1) An upper limit on DY
(pages 166-7)	
	relative to the aquifer's SY, expressed as a percentage of SY.
	The WUDP only says "we set the extraction at less than 100%
	of established SY" but this is too vague and does not reflect the
	uncertainties. A low percentage of conversion of SY to DY
	reflects low confidence in the SY and thus allows for errors or
	changes due to climate change. (2) The WUDP bases its climate

WUDP Section	Suggested changes
	change water availability data on the 2008 sustainable yield
	for all the Ko'olau sector aquifers. WUDP should use the 2019
	WRPP SY figures for Ko'olau aquifers. Then, the WUDP should
	also clearly explain that firm data is not currently available to
	support a reliable SY that accounts for recharge rate,
	uncertainty in rainfall, and impact of ground water extraction
	on streams, existing wells and springs, traditional and cultural
	uses and maintenance of healthy coastal freshwater
	discharges.
Section 11.1	The Ko'olau aquifer sector is listed as having a SY of 175 MGD in
Conventional Water Source Availability and	tables 11.1 and 11.2. This number is not consistent with the most
Uncertainties	recent (2019) WRPP, which is 152 MGD. We suggest that this
(page 271,2)	number be qualified with a footnote stating that the number is
(puge 271,2)	likely inaccurate and requires further research to accurately state.
	Our comments for section 16 provide details on the research
	required.
Section 12 Strategies	A very important point is made here: "Maui will have an
(page 285)	environmentally sustainable water system." The WUDP does
(page 203)	not state how environmental protections will be determined. We
	suggest amending this section to state that any EA or EIS issued
	for surface or groundwater extraction needs to be based on reliable
	long-term data.

WUDP Section	Suggested changes
Section 14.8.3	Both of these sections propose the Ha'iku aquifer as a potential
Conventional Water	alternative source and acknowledges that it requires further study.
Source Strategies (Wailuku)	We suggest linking this to the recommendations for further study
(page 469,70)	made in Section 16.2.2 about the Ko'olau sector. It is important
	that the WUDP "qualify" any proposed dependence on Ha'iku
Section 15.8.3 Conventional Water	aquifer waters with a realistic statement of the long-term studies
Source Strategies	and monitoring that need to be done to determine its safe capacity
(Central Aquifer)	and ensure that the needs of local residents are met before water is
(page 469,70)	transferred to other sectors, as The Ha'iku-Pa'ia Community Plan
	specifies.
Section 16.2.2 Water	The CWRM has decreased its estimate of the Ko'olau sector by
Resources (Ko'olau Aquifer Sector Area)	25% since 2003, but it appears that old numbers are still being
riquitor sector rirea)	used for Ha'iku and other aquifers within the Ko'olau sector.
(page 645-7)	This section should substitute the 2019 SY values for the
	Ko'olau sector aquifers in all charts and tables. This section
	should include the same discussion of Developable Yield that is
	in Section 5.4 of the WUDP, and include our recommendations
	for amendments to section 5.4 (see the above comments).
	The discussion of the Ha'iku and other affected aquifers within
	the Ko'olau sector should explicitly call out the need for:
	geology and geohydrology analysis,
	recharge model specific to the conditions of the
	aquifer (not a RAM model),
	ground-surface water interactions,
	ground water quality analyses & mapping,
	water needs of local residents based upon the
	most current data,

WUDP Section	Suggested changes
	water needs to satisfy native Hawai'ian
	traditional and customary use,
	 requirements of the Ha'iku-Pa'ia Community
	Plan,
	requirements of the East Maui Consent decree,
	error/uncertainty analysis that accounts for
	climate change,
	accounting for water needs by the ecosystem including outflow to the ocean.
Section 15.9	The WUDP needs more specific information and specific
	solutions for upcountry water priority list.
	(Th. WIIDD) - II
	The WUDP's Upcountry meter priority list discussion is based
	upon data from June 2014. The WUDP appears to assume that the
	number of meters requested, and the water demand they create, is
	unchanged after 6 years of giving out meters. Publicly available
	information seems to show that between 120 and over 200
	applicants have received meters, found other water sources, or
	turned down meters since 2014. In other words, the meter list is no
	longer 1,822 as it was in 2014, but has gotten shorter, and the
	amount of water needed to meet it has also decreased by .5 MGD
	or more. The WUDP should be amended to include this
	information. It should also have a simple chart that breaks down
	how many meter requests remain in each community: Ha'iku,
	Makawao; Pukalani; Kula.

WUDP Section	Suggested changes
Section 15.7.2 "Planned	The WUDP should include the latest available data on how many
Growth Areas	Upcountry meter requests are for single residence projects and
Upcountry"	their water demand, how many for small family subdivisions and
	how many requests fall in the category where "private source
(Page 540)	development will likely be required for some of the large projects,
	such as Hali`imaile, Pukalani Expansion and Pukalani Makai,"
	The Draft WUDP mentions that "private source development will
	likely be required for some of the large projects, such as
	Hali`imaile, Pukalani Expansion and Pukalani Makai" but then
	declares that it does not analyze individual project status on the
	meter list, but gives no reason that this would not make sense to
	do. Not doing so inflates demands on the public water system
	(with no clear source being given) and decreases projections of
	water demands from additional wells being drilled by private
	entities.
	The private source development will need to be factored into non-
	MDWS water system data, and the projects mentioned are being
	included in MIP population forecasts that were used for the WUDP.
Table ES-5 "Selected	Table ES-5 lists a constant figure of 7.3 MGD for the Upcountry
Scenario Projected	list from 2014- to 2035. If a portion of the demand is very likely to
Water Demand and	be met by private wells, that should be accounted for under the
Supply Options Central	"private potable" category. The WUDP should have the most
ASEA and MDWS	accurate information possible so the actual expected demands on
Upcountry System"	aquifers and streams is included.

WUDP Section	Suggested changes
(Page 58)	
Table ES-6	This table excludes the upcountry system. But the Ha'iku area is
#IZ .) . 1 A ; C	both on the Upcountry Meter list (over 400 applicants) and
"Ko'olau Aquifer	covered in the Ko'olau aquifer sector- the Ha'iku water demand
Sector"	appears to be not clearly defined in the WUDP. This data should
(Page 61)	be included in Table ES-6. Fig. 15-34, (p.525 of pdf) showing
	Upcountry meter applications could include a numbers breakdown
	by Town.
	Table 15 24 (n 555 ndf) "Groundwater Source Development to
	Table 15-34 (p.555 pdf) "Groundwater Source Development to
	Meet Population-Growth Based Municipal Demand - Central
	ASEA and the MDWS Upcountry System 2035 (MGD)"
	This table lists 7.3 MGD as the 2035 "demand" for upcountry
	system, but if the number of meters on the list is reduced and some
	meter requests are too large to be met by the MDWS system, that
	number should be adjusted. DWS knows how many meters it has
	already issued. That water amount is accounted for in current
	system use data and shouldn't be part of future demand.
Table 15-38 "Selected	This table shows the same 7.3 MGD demand for the Upcountry
Scenario Projected	meter List from 2014-to 2035. The same table shows an increase of
Water Demand and	100,000 gpd of private potable use in that same period. Upcountry
Supply Options Central	water demand is projected to increase by 920,000 gpd by 2035. It
Sappij Spaoiis Conda	water definition to projected to mercane of 720,000 ppd of 2005. It

WUDP Section	Suggested changes
ASEA and MDWS	is not clear if this is "on top" of the demands of the Upcountry
Upcountry System"	meter list, or if the meters awarded each year are then included in
	the general MDWS system figures, and possibly "counted" a
(Page 580)	second time in this chart. Same recommended changes as for
	Table 15-34.
12.3 .c. "Develop	This section of the WUDP does not acknowledge where the
groundwater to	"Upcountry Meter list" fits into planned growth, even though It is
maximize reliability of	the major "future demand" factor shown for the upcountry
potable supply and as	system. Section 12.3.c. discusses the reliance on surface water and
contingency in areas	the need to provide more ground water, without identifying the
currently dependent on	Upcountry system and offering a useful analyses of what the actual
surface water."	demands and solutions would be. WUDP needs to include a
/D 205)	breakdown of type of meters requested: SF/ small subdivisions/
(Page 287)	larger subdivisions as well as a breakdown of numbers of meters
	from the Upcountry Priority List have been given out since 2014,
	by year and by Upcountry region.
0.1	
Section 15.6.7	This section, under item 6. states: "The List does not represent
"Population growth-	population growth but considered committed water over the
based sub-scenarios"	planning period. Historically about 50 percent of applications on
(Page 532)	the List have resulted in new water meter services. " It should
(1 age 332)	have language added that some on the meter list are likely to turn
	to private wells and water systems if their projects are large enough
	to bear the cost and estimate the percentage of the list that will

WUDP Section	Suggested changes
	affect and the potential reduction in demands on the public
	system. This is mentioned on p. 521 of the pdf.
Table 15-28	This table projects that between 2014 and 2035 the increase in
"Comparison of	water demand in the upcountry area outside of those signed up on
Upcountry District with	the Upcountry water meter list would be a total increased usage of
and Without Meter	700,000. This would represent about 1,750 new meters (if one
List"	assumed average use of 400 gpd per household) over a 21 year
	period or about 88 meters a year.
	Does this take into account agricultural use of water by individual
	small farms? Does it account for demand by those who want
	meters, but were not able to get on the Meter list before it was
	closed? This Table should explain how much up the Upcountry
	system demand is from Ha'iku (Ko'olau sector) and how much
	from Central Sector (Makawao, Pukalani and Kula.)
Section 15.8.2	This section repeats the vague discussion points of other section
"Upcountry Priority	and concludes: Strategy #2: "Assess alternative options to
List"	restructure and process the existing Upcountry Meter Priority List
(Dana 554)	to improve processing rate and adequate source development.
(Page 554)	Lead agency is MDWS." This means that the only plan the Water
	Use plan offers for resolving source issues for the Upcountry
	Meter list is to work on a plan at some later date. It has been seven
	years since the list was closed. What is the current status? The
	WUDP needs to give more specific data, as mentioned above.

Suggested changes
These sections describe the East Maui water system among
various challenges faced by agricultural producers. The section
notes that "These [ditch] systems will require strategic
reinvestment, subsidies, and incentives in order to support existing
and new farm growth." This sections should be updated to
acknowledge the BWS TIG report about possible scenarios for
public/private support and control of the system.
The WUDP already includes many references to the importance of
the EMI system and possible public role in management: "The
cost of managing the East Maui Irrigation System is necessary
information to evaluate future management responsibilities."
This section states "Long term plans to manage the EMI system,
including use and maintenance of reservoirs are a concern, as is
EMI system efficiency." A bullet point reference to the BWS TIG
report could be added to this section.
This section describes the Upcountry water system. This section
should also include a mention studying a transition to alternative
management of the East Maui ditch system.
This section notes that "MDWS purchases water from the Wailoa
Ditch for municipal use Upcountry and non-potable water from
Wailoa Ditch services the Kula Agricultural Park. The MDWS
diverts surface water from Ko`olau ASEA at intakes above the
EMI system for the Lower Kula and the Upper Kula systems." It
should also include a phrase noting that alternative management of
the EMI system is under discussion in the Maui County Council.
v n r a a F T t c ii T ii E r T s n T C E S

WUDP Section	Suggested changes
Section 15.8.2 Strategy	This strategy states: "Explore funding and conduct a cost benefit
#1	analysis of improvements to the EMI non-potable conveyance
(Page 551)	system to mitigate losses and preserve existing reservoirs at risk of
	decommissioning. County of Maui and A&B Properties/EMI
	Company in partnership would lead initiatives. Priority
	components and associated costs TBD." It should be updated to
	also refer to the BWS TIG report.
Section 15.8.2 Strategy	This WUDP section refers to the need for MDWS to execute a
#7	"long term source agreement for use and maintenance of the
(Pages 566-67)	Wailoa Ditch that ensures adequate non-potable supply for the
	Kula Agricultural Park expansion and potable supply for projected
	MDWS Upcountry System needs over the planning period." It
	could also mention the possibility of transition to a more
	community based system building on the mention under Strategy
	#1. A number of other long-term DWS goals depend upon A&B,
	but over a 20 year horizon, the WUDP should discuss a more self
	sufficient approach to management of publicly owned East Maui
	surface waters.
Section 15.9 Table 15-39	The Table repeats the language from section 15.8.2 Strategy #1
"Summary of	and it should be updated to acknowledge the BWS TIG report and
Recommended	its recommendations of possible scenarios for public management
Strategies Central	of EMI system along with public investment in repairs.
ASEA"	
(Page 582)	
"Summary of Recommended Strategies Central ASEA"	#1. A number of other long-term DWS goals depend upon A&I but over a 20 year horizon, the WUDP should discuss a more se sufficient approach to management of publicly owned East Mausurface waters. The Table repeats the language from section 15.8.2 Strategy #1 and it should be updated to acknowledge the BWS TIG report as its recommendations of possible scenarios for public management.

WUDP Section	Suggested changes
Appendix. 10	Strategy 47 which states: "Maintain/manage plantation ditch
"Generalized	systems for continued potable and non-potable water conveyance
Assessment of Impacts	(Invest in existing systems, resolve ownership, management
of Preliminary Measures	issues)" should be updated to refer to the TIG report, and its
and Strategies on	recommendations and how public management of the system
Traditional and	could include an authentic voice for native Hawai'ian communities
Customary Practices of	and protections for traditional native Hawai'ian rights.
Native Hawai'ians"	
(Pages 1011 to 1032)	