## **GET Committee**

**From:** County Clerk

Sent: Tuesday, February 5, 2019 7:51 AM

**To:** GET Committee **Subject:** FW: Remove JD Kim.

From: mel w <wildman1101@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 04, 2019 3:27 PM

To: County Clerk < County. Clerk@mauicounty.us>

Subject: Re: Remove JD Kim.

HERES COMMON SENSE, what part of the entire COURTS do not understand the words in the Constitution, "We the People?" Unless you can decipher the fact that the Constitution under "we the people" implies only to "People who speak English".

Then the Title of the Constitution is bias and prejudicial to race. Because it does not include color, or origin.

In further context, the same, Constitution, does not clarify in legal tone that all discrepancies of law must be verified in English terms, nor does it state, the Freedom of Speech must be endorsed and compliant to English. Freedom of Speech is a wide sweeping law that does not curtail a limit of any version of Speech. Nor does it state that all versions of speech must be in English, whether they are in a Court of Law or in a public forum.

State: Davis v. Bandemer (1986),[9] the Supreme Court held that gerrymandering cases were justiciable under the Equal Protection Clause. Suit against government under old laws. 1 H. 266; 7 H. 715. Actions for tort under 1888 statute. 8 H. 546; 9 H. 101. Effect of 1895 statute, prior to enactment of chapter 662. 13 H. 1, 3; 13 H. 478, 479.

We can assume the focal point of the matter in legal question of the law. Simplified to the facts as mentioned above, Under the rights of the Constitution, Freedom of Speech does not prejudice any race / color or creed of their rights to communicate in their language.

Mel Wildman.