

Great Committee

From: Matthew Bracken <mbracken@kauai.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 1, 2021 9:58 AM
To: Great Committee
Subject: Amendments to the Rules of the Council (GREAT-6(2))

Aloha,

In response to your letter dated May 25, 2021, inquiring regarding sunshine law violations. The County of Kaua'i has a consent calendar on our normal Council agendas and it hasn't created any additional sunshine law implications.

Mahalo,

Matthew M. Bracken
County Attorney

County of Kauai
4444 Rice Street, Suite 220
Lihue, Hawaii 96766
(808) 241-4930
mbracken@kauai.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, Title 18, United States Code, §§ 2510-2521. This e-mail and any attached files are deemed privileged and confidential, and are intended solely for the use of the individual(s) or entity to whom this e-mail is addressed. If you are not one of the named recipient(s) or believe that you have received this message in error, please delete this e-mail and any attached files from all locations in your computer, server, network, etc., and notify the sender IMMEDIATELY at mbracken@kauai.gov. Any other use, re-creation, dissemination, forwarding or copying of this e-mail and any attached files is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Receipt by anyone other than the named recipient(s) is not a waiver of any attorney-client, work product, or other applicable privilege. Thank you for your cooperation. Nothing in this message is intended to constitute an Electronic signature for purposes of the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act (UETA) or the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act ("E-Sign") unless a specific statement to the contrary is included in this message.

Disclaimer regarding County of Kauai County Attorney's Office e-mails: This e-mail could be interpreted as an opinion on a question of law, but should not be considered a formal opinion prepared for release. Furthermore, if this e-mail is interpreted as an opinion, it is an opinion and nothing more. A judge or finder of fact could interpret the relevant statutes, case law and/or facts differently from the interpretation given by this office, or that the relevant law may be applied in an unconstitutional manner that could render it susceptible to challenge.