PLANNING AND SUSTAINABLE LAND USE COMMITTEE

Council of the County of Maui

MINUTES

March 4, 2021

Online Only Via BlueJeans

RECONVENE: 9:01 a.m.

PRESENT: Councilmember Tamara Paltin, Chair

Councilmember Kelly Takaya King, Vice-Chair (out 11:14 a.m.; in 11:20 a.m.)

Councilmember Gabe Johnson, Member

Councilmember Tasha Kama, Member (in 10:28 a.m.; out 10:40 a.m.; in 10:46

a.m.)

Councilmember Alice L. Lee, Member (out 12:05 p.m.)

Councilmember Michael J. Molina, Member

Councilmember Keani N.W. Rawlins-Fernandez, Member

Councilmember Shane M. Sinenci, Member Councilmember Yuki Lei K. Sugimura, Member

STAFF:

Ana Lillis, Legislative Analyst

Alison Stewart, Legislative Analyst Richard Mitchell, Legislative Attorney Clarita Balala, Committee Secretary

Axel Beers, Executive Assistant to Councilmember Kelly Takaya King Kate Griffiths, Executive Assistant to Councilmember Gabe Johnson Keisa Liu, Executive Assistant to Councilmember Gabe Johnson

Davideane Kama-Sickels, Executive Assistant to Councilmember Tasha Kama

Sarah Pajimola, Executive Assistant to Councilmember Keani N.W. Rawlins-Fernandez

Gina Young, Executive Assistant to Councilmember Shane M. Sinenci Jordan Helle, Executive Assistant to Councilmember Yuki Lei K. Sugimura

ADMIN.:

Michael Hopper, Deputy Corporation Counsel, Department of the Corporation Counsel

Michele McLean, Director, Department of Planning

Kathleen Aoki, Planning Program Manager, Department of Planning Pamela Eaton, Planning Program Administrator, Department of Planning

Jennifer Maydan, Planning Supervisor, Department of Planning

PRESS: Akakū: Maui Community Television, Inc.

PSLU-1 WEST MAUI COMMUNITY PLAN (CC 21-70)

March 4, 2021

CHAIR PALTIN: ... (gavel)... Will the Planning and Sustainable Land Use Committee meeting of March 4th come to order. The time is now 9:01. If everyone can please silence their cell phones or any other noisemaking devices. My name is Tamara Paltin. I'll be your Chair for today's Planning and Sustainable Land Use. With us today I have our Vice-Chair Kelly King. Aloha kakahiaka.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Aloha kakahiaka, Chair.

CHAIR PALTIN: Aloha. And from Lāna'i we have Councilmember Gabe Johnson. Aloha kakahiaka.

COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Aloha. Good morning, Chair. Good morning, Councilmembers.

CHAIR PALTIN: Good morning. And I received a note from Member Kama. She'll be a little bit late. From Wailuku we have Council Chair Alice Lee. Aloha kakahiaka.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Aloha, Madam Chair. And in Okinawa we say hai sai. Hai sai. That covers morning, noon, and night.

CHAIR PALTIN: Hai sai.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Hai sai.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Better than low sai. Okay.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Yeah. Always.

CHAIR PALTIN: And we got Councilmember Mike Molina coming from under the virtual bridge.

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Aloha kakahiaka to you, Madam Chair. And hai sai to you and everyone else from under Makawao's virtual bridge, where it's a little bit warmer here today.

CHAIR PALTIN: Hai sai and aloha kakahiaka. And we have from Moloka'i, Councilmember Keani Rawlins-Fernandez. Aloha kakahiaka.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Aloha kakahiaka, Chair, and hai sai kākou.

CHAIR PALTIN: Hai sai. And from Hāna we have Councilmember Shane Sinenci. Aloha kakahiaka and hai sai.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Aloha kakahiaka, Chair. Ma ka Hikina and hai sai from the East Side.

March 4, 2021

- CHAIR PALTIN: Hai sai. And last but not least, we have Councilmember Yuki Lei Sugimura. Hai sai and aloha kakahiaka.
- COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Hai sai and it sounds like howzit, right? It must be one of those good for all languages. So good morning, everybody, from the County building.

CHAIR PALTIN: Good morning. Members, if you haven't already sent in your ASFs to the Committee, please send them in now and then we can get them uploaded. Just thought I'd throw that out there before I introduce the rest of the Staff. We have with us from Corporation Counsel, Deputy Corp. Counsel Michael Hopper. From the Department of Planning we have Director Michele McLean, and Planning Program Administrator Pam Eaton and Senior Planner Jen Maydan. Committee Staff today, we have Committee Secretary Clarita Balala, Council Service Assistance Clerk Jean Pokipala, Legislative Attorney Richard Mitchell, and Legislative Analysts Ana Lillis and Alison Stewart. We have one item on the agenda today, we're continuing on with PSLU-1, West Maui Community Plan. Oral testimony is still closed, and the public is welcome to submit written testimony at any time. This online meeting is being conducted in accordance with the Governor's most recent Emergency Proclamation on COVID-19. The Proclamation partially suspends the Sunshine Law and meetings can be conducted without Councilmembers or members of the public being physically present in the same location. If connectivity affecting quorum is lost, the Committee will be in recess. It will not be considered a loss of quorum unless connectivity cannot be restored within 30 minutes. If connectivity affecting quorum cannot be restored within 30 minutes, the meeting will automatically reconvene to Friday, March 12th at 9:00 a.m. using the same virtual connections unless the Committee announces a different date and time. Committee Staff will make good faith efforts to keep the public informed. Members wishing to speak during today's meeting should say my name, raise their hand, and ask to be recognized so I may call on you.

PSLU-1 WEST MAUI COMMUNITY PLAN (CC 21-70)

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. PSLU-1, West Maui Community Plan, the Committee is in the receipt of the following County Communication 21-70 from Councilmember Tamara Paltin relating to the West Maui Community Plan. Correspondence dated January 19, 2021 from the Planning Director transmitting the following: a proposed bill to adopt the updated West Maui Community Plan, a document entitled, "DRAFT WEST MAUI COMMUNITY PLAN," a document entitled, "Maui Planning Commission Changes," and correspondence dated January 29, 2021 from the Committee Chair transmitting a proposed Bill entitled, "A BILL FOR AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 2.80B.070, MAUI COUNTY CODE, TO ADOPT THE UPDATED WEST MAUI COMMUNITY PLAN." The purpose of the proposed bill is to adopt the updated West Maui Community Plan. Okay. Did anyone have Amendment Summary Forms to send in? No? One? Two? Okay. All right. So at this time I'd like to call like a ten-minute recess for Members to print out the Amendment Summary Forms. I had mine that I uploaded the first meeting, and it looks like Member Sinenci and Member Rawlins-Fernandez have sent in Amendment Summary Forms. So I wanted to take a ten-minute recess. You can

March 4, 2021

- print out our three versions of the Amendments, and then we'll go through them one by one. Okay. Any questions?
- COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: So it's February 18th? It's dated February 18th Amendment from Councilmember Sinenci, Rawlins-Fernandez, and yourself all dated February 18th. Is that the latest?
- CHAIR PALTIN: It should be today for Councilmember Sinenci and Councilmember Rawlins-Fernandez.
- COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Oh, okay. So it's not an...it's not an eComment then. I'm looking in Granicus.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Did you...yeah. You might have to refresh because I think they should have sent them in this morning. Staff, did you receive the Amendment Summary Forms from Member Sinenci and Member Rawlins-Fernandez?

MS. LILLIS: Chair?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes.

MS. LILLIS: I'll check with Committee Secretary Clarita Balala. Thank you.

MS. BALALA: Chair, I haven't received anything this morning. This is Clarita.

CHAIR PALTIN: Oh, okay. Member Sinenci and Member Rawlins-Fernandez, did you send it to pslu.committee@mauicounty.us? Okay. I don't think they can upload it if we go into recess, so...oh, we'll need to wait until they receive it. And I had...so I'll just fill the gap by talking about procedural stuff.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Can I just ask you something?

CHAIR PALTIN: Sure, Member King.

- VICE-CHAIR KING: Are you...are you planning...or did you say that these ASFs were already emailed and you were just waiting or they have not been...you have not received them?
- CHAIR PALTIN: The Members said they have emailed it. The Committee Secretary said that she has not received it yet, and she can't upload it to Granicus while we're in recess. So...yeah. I talked with Staff after the last meeting, and they said that, you know, if there are any typos or nonsubstantive errors, they can go ahead and correct them on their own. So if we missed any misspellings and things like that, they'll be able to catch them, but I guess as we wait for the emails to go through...

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Frozen.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Oh, frozen.

March 4, 2021

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Is it...is it okay to ask Keani and Shane to just e-mail what you have to us so we can start reading them?

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I mean, I guess we could, but we need to do that in open session. So I guess maybe Staff can advise us on whether we can have Staff email it directly to the Councilmembers? Ms. Lillis?

MS. LILLIS: Hi.

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: We're not in recess, right?

MS. LILLIS: Sorry. One second, Councilmember Rawlins-Fernandez. I'm on the phone with Councilmember Paltin. Thank you. Sorry.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: You know why, Keani, for me it's easier to...

CHAIR PALTIN: How about now?

MS. LILLIS: Oh, there you are.

CHAIR PALTIN: Oh, sorry for those technical difficulties. I'm not sure what happened.

MS. LILLIS: Sorry about that.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Yes, Chair Lee? Chair Lee.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: It's easier for me if they sent it to my email then I can print it easier than from my other device.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Send it to your email.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: That's one...that's one. And then the other one is what were you planning to do today. What did you plan to accomplish today, so we know where we're going.

CHAIR PALTIN: Oh, Section 4 was on the agenda for today to go through....

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: So you wanted to finish that today?

CHAIR PALTIN: I'd love to, but it's not...it's starting out a little rocky.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Okay. Okay. All right. Thanks.

CHAIR PALTIN: And Member Sugimura.

March 4, 2021

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: I have an ASF to forward to the Committee or send it to Clarita. Who?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah, the Committee.

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Okay. Thanks.

CHAIR PALTIN: And then...yes, Staff.

MS. LILLIS: Yes. We would like the Committee Members, if they have ASFs, to email the pslu.committee. And we can make sure that it's distributed and uploaded to Granicus.

CHAIR PALTIN: Perfect. And did I answer your question, Member King?

- VICE-CHAIR KING: Well, I think you're answering it now, so I'm just trying to figure out if my Staff needs to look for the email or to look for it on Granicus. But it sounds like they're...it's going to be emailed directly to our offices.
- CHAIR PALTIN: That too. Okay. So while we're waiting for that to be accomplished, I just wanted to go through some of the nonsubstantive changes I had. You guys have your little booklets with you? On page 103, in the second paragraph, I wanted to just put the Department of Planning so folks are clear which Department it is...if that's okay. As well as...I'll just take up all the nonsubstantive changes one time. Yes, Member Rawlins-Fernandez.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. I think throughout the document, throughout the plan, anytime it says the Department with a capital D and no reference to what Department it is, it's referring to the Planning Department. And it's...it's done that way consistently throughout the plan.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay.

- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: So if you...I'm asking that if you want to make that change there, that when it is first referenced, that anytime the Department is...is referred to, it is talking about the Planning Department...that if we're going to make the change here, that we make the change throughout the plan...throughout the document.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Sure we can. My reasoning was just...you know, the monitoring and evaluation is kind of the crux of the plan. So I figured here if anywhere else would be super important for the residents to understand it. But if we want to do it throughout, that's fine with me as well.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Okay. Yeah. Just for consistency.

March 4, 2021

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Any objection to Department of Planning throughout the document? All in favor, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Unanimous. All right.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Of course, there was no motion. But, yeah.

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah. They said it's okay to do it without a motion. Even though Mr. Hendricks recommended it, we can do what we want. Member Rawlins-Fernandez?

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. I know Director McLean is on. I don't know if she would want to...I know we voted on it already, but if she would want to say anything regarding that.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Director McLean?

MS. MCLEAN: It's...it's really just a preference. So it's...it's your folks' decision on how you want the document to read.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair.

CHAIR PALTIN: Sure thing. And then moving on, at the bottom of pages 107, 113, 117, 119, and 121, I'd like to replace page 127 with 105. It makes a lot more sense that way. Because on page 105, it talks about the County Lead Agency as referenced in that footnote, whereas page 127, it just doesn't make sense. All those in...Member King.

VICE-CHAIR KING: I don't see a footnote on page 105.

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah, 105 is what should be referenced in the footnote on page 107, 113, 117, 119, and 120. So it's all the same footnote. The first time you see it is on page 107.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Oh, okay. So you're saying that that should say, "See County Lead Agency" on page 105 instead of 127?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Okay.

CHAIR PALTIN: The document makes a lot more sense that way.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Okay. Got it. Thanks.

CHAIR PALTIN: Sure thing. All in favor of that change, raise your hand and say "aye."

March 4, 2021

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: Unanimous. Thank you, Members. My next nonsubstantive change is on page 110 at the footnote as well. If you folks recall, we purchased that reservoir in the middle of Honokowai from Maui Land and Pine, and that was purchased by the Department of Environmental Management. So instead of Maui County owns...DWS owns one reservoir in West Maui, it should read, "The Maui County DWS and DEM each own one reservoir in West Maui." And I have confirmed in writing with the Department of Environmental Management Director...he is okay with this change. Any discussion on that? You guys all remember the purchase? It was not that long ago, yeah? So all in favor raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. It is changed.

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Madam Chair?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes. Member Molina.

- COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Oh, yeah. Sorry. I just had a question about how you plan to proceed today. I have some questions on some of the pages...no formal Amendments to propose, but just some questions and clarification from the Department. Can I ask those, I guess, whenever you get done with doing the ASFs...the Members that had ASFs or...
- CHAIR PALTIN: How about when we go...when we're on that page you would ask the question?
- COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Yeah, okay. Yeah. Okay, yeah. I had questions for pages...page 108. I know we just did 110. But, yeah, whenever you deem it appropriate, Madam Chair.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Yeah, when we go through the ASFs. This is just like non-substantial stuff.
- COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Okay. All right. Thank you.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Sure. And then on page 126, Action Number 3.21, I'd like to delete this. This is a duplicate. It also appears on page 132, and it's Action Item 5.18. So we only need it in one location. We don't need it twice. Member Rawlins-Fernandez.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. Do you or the Director know why it was placed in the two sections?
- CHAIR PALTIN: I did check with Ms. Maydan on all of my Amendments, and this was...I think they didn't decide which one to put in and inadvertently decided...I mean,

March 4, 2021

- decided on 5.18, but forgot to take it out or something. It was an oversight.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Okay. Just as long as the community didn't request for it to be in both sections. I think the Moloka'i plan, we had a couple redundancies, and it was something that the community wanted to be repeated. Yeah. That's...that's the reason for my question. Mahalo, Chair. Okay. I think our Chair is frozen again. Perhaps our Vice-Chair can take a quick recess? Our Committee Vice-Chair. Committee Vice-Chair King.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Oh is it...should I just take over? I feel funny. Okay. I didn't get that request. But I...why don't we go to recess.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: She just froze.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Yeah. Okay. And I see the poor network signal. So let's go ahead and take a five-minute recess. It's...well, three minutes. How about 9:22. Let's go to 9:25 and if she doesn't appear back at 9:25 I can gavel back in and figure out what's happening. But I'll give her a call and see what's happening. Member Lee?
- COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Can we just take a five-minute recess because...so we can print some of the emails that are coming through now.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Oh, are they coming through?
- COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Yeah.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Okay. We'll go ahead and take a five-minute recess until or...you know, she wanted to take a ten-minute. So why don't we just go to 9:30. Oh, there she is.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Hi. Sorry. I'm back on my cell phone. I don't know what's going on over here.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: I was just about to take a recess to 9:30 so we could print out and...wait for you to come back and then print out what was coming in through...
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. I see that we did receive Member Rawlins-Fernandez and Member Sinenci's ASFs. But did...Member Sugimura, did you say you had one that you were sending as well?
- COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Staff is working on it.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Oh, okay. Do you know about how much longer it would take?
- COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Not much longer. Oh, sorry.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. And did Ms. Maydan come on and explain about the duplication?

March 4, 2021

CHAIR SUGIMURA: Uh-uh.

CHAIR PALTIN: Oh, okay. Ms. Maydan, are you on the line...on the call?

MS. MAYDAN: Good morning, Chair. Yes, I am. I am happy to address that question. You're absolutely right that it was...it was not requested by the community to be in both locations. It was just a mistake on our part where that was an action that came about during the Planning Commission stage, and we were debating on which goal to put it under, and we inadvertently put it under both. So it should just be as Chair Paltin requested. Thank you.

CHAIR PALTIN: Member Rawlins-Fernandez.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. Okay. So it's...it's your preference that it would be under the...is that the 2.3 goal and not the 2.5 goal?

CHAIR PALTIN: You mean the 5.18?

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Yeah. The Action Item under the 2.5 goal versus the 2.3.

CHAIR PALTIN: I believe that was the recommendation from Ms. Maydan when we spoke earlier, yeah. Do you prefer the other way?

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Oh, I will take your lead. That's your district.

CHAIR PALTIN: (audio interference) Am I on mute?

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Chair, you're freezing again. No, you were frozen. You were glitching.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: I know the feeling.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Yeah. Her signal is weak.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

CHAIR PALTIN: All right. So can you still hear me?

VICE-CHAIR KING: Yeah. We can hear you now.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. All those in favor of eliminating the duplicate as stated, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

March 4, 2021

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Where are you keeping it?

CHAIR PALTIN: In...we're keeping it on page 132.

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: 132. Okay.

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah. Okay. So I got that as unanimous, still with Member Kama excused. While we're waiting for Member Sugimura's Amendment Summary Form, Mr. Molina, did you want to go ahead and ask your question on page 108? Oh, you're muted. Member Molina?

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: No. I have no questions.

CHAIR PALTIN: No questions. Okay. I just received an email from Member Sugimura's Staff. Can our Staff distribute that to everyone, and then we'll go into recess for ten minutes as soon as that's...that happens. Member King.

VICE-CHAIR KING: I think I got that one directly. Is that the one that says it's transmitted from Jordan Helle? Yeah, I got that directly.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay.

VICE-CHAIR KING: So he delivered to the Department directly.

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Thank you.

CHAIR PALTIN: So does everyone have the three Amendment Summary Forms that were from today?

VICE-CHAIR KING: Chair?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes.

VICE-CHAIR KING: I have all three, but perhaps we should take a couple of minutes so everybody can make sure that they have it and they've printed it out.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. I mean, as long as they have it, they can print it out during the recess.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Yeah.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Member Johnson, you have all three? Member Sinenci, you have them? Yeah. Member Molina? Yeah. Member Sugimura? Member Lee?

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Yes.

March 4, 2021

CHAIR PALTIN: Member Rawlins-Fernandez, you got them? Okay. The time is 9:28.

MS. LILLIS: Chair?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes.

MS. LILLIS: Chair, may I...before you recess, could Staff request instruction on participants to this BlueJeans meeting that are connected, would you like us to drop them if they aren't resource people or members of the Administration or Councilmembers.

CHAIR PALTIN: Oh, yes. Everybody can watch this meeting on Akakū Channel 53, or mauicounty.us/agendas, or our Facebook Live stream. So this is a closed BlueJeans link for decorum.

MS. LILLIS: Thank you, Chair.

CHAIR PALTIN: Sure thing. Okay, with no further questions, comments, or suggestions, we'll be in recess for ten minutes, to return at 9:40. . . . (gavel). . .

RECESS: 9:29 a.m.

RECONVENE: 9:44 a.m.

CHAIR PALTIN: . . . (gavel). . . Will the Planning and Sustainable Land Use Committee meeting of March 4th return to order. The time is 9:44. And thank you for that break, Members and the public. We received three additional ASFs in addition to the one that I had sent in originally. And so at this time, we'll just go through Section 4, Amendment by Amendment. So the first one I see is on...is everybody on...or could everybody please turn to page 106. And we're on the Amendment that has been proposed by Councilmember Keani Rawlins-Fernandez, Action Number 1.02, would like to revert back to the Community Plan Advisory Committee recommendation, which would say, obtain funding for...or sorry, my bad. Remove consider. Change developing to develop. This is for 1.02. This isn't the one I was looking at originally. Sorry. So 1.02, it should say, "Conduct a feasibility study on managed retreat at risk of at risk development in West Maui to include an analysis of alternatives and interim steps to achieve managed retreat." Identify incentives for proactive retreat. Then we would remove consider and put, "and develop programmatic environmental documents to assist in implementing managed retreat." So that's Member Rawlins-Fernandez's proposed Amendment for Action 1.02. And I see Director McLean...so I'm assuming she has a comment.

MS. MCLEAN: Thank you, Chair. The reason that we requested the softer language is because we've been striving for these plans to be realistic, and we take the implementing actions that are directed to the Planning Department as ones that we intend to do. And it's not necessarily the Planning Department's responsibility to do the environmental studies needed for managed retreat, it will depend on where that might occur. It might be a private sector responsibility, or be a joint effort, or it could

March 4, 2021

be a CFD like we're talking about for Kahana Bay. So because we are looking at these plans seriously, if it's saying that we have to develop those documents, then we'll feel like we're the ones who have to develop those documents, and maybe the private side will feel that too. So it's not that the language that's in there now it can't be modified, but if it puts it on us to develop those documents, then that means that that responsibility falls solely with us. So that's why we wanted the adjustment.

- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Member Rawlins-Fernandez, as the proposer of the Amendment, followed by Member King, Vice-Chair.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair, and mahalo, Director, for your comments on the proposed Amendment. I understand the rationale that you've explained, but I don't want...and I'm sure the community doesn't want it to be considered and then the box is checked. We thought about it. Goal achieved.
- MS. MCLEAN: Fair enough.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Yeah. And instead, something that is actionable. So if there is a way to amend it so that the burden isn't placed on the Planning Department to achieve that goal of developing these documents for managed retreat, then I would be open to that as well. So if it's, you know, supporting the development or encouraging the development or, you know, some kind of...some language there that would not place the burden solely on the Planning Department and would share that kuleana with those that are the appropriate parties for this...this particular action. I can keep thinking about it too, and propose something else that would address your concern. Mahalo, Director.
- MS. MCLEAN: Or support property owners in developing.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Support proper...
- MS. MCLEAN: Property owners in developing programmatic environmental documents. So if the property owner is the County, then we would be supporting the County. I don't know if that goes far enough, but if that's the direction you're thinking...support property owners in developing programmatic environmental documents.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: If the property owner is a private landowner, would the County still support that private landowner, and what would that support look like?
- MS. MCLEAN: Supporting them with the process, and helping them get through the...the EA process. It's most likely the Planning Commission would be the accepting agency for the environmental documents, so facilitating that process and...from the top of my head.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Mahalo, Director.

March 4, 2021

- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Okay. Oh, I'll think about that for a few seconds while Member King and others speak. Mahalo, Chair.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Member King, followed by Member Lee.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Thank you, Chair. So Michele, I kind of had that same question that you just posed, is who would be doing that? And right now, it looks like the cost of this is \$6 million as it's written. So I was just going ask you if the cost is \$6,000 here, you know, it's estimated in the thousands. So it looks like it's going to be \$6 million just to do this piece and I was just going to ask you if you also do the environmental documents what would that add in cost to the County? But is that realistic, that \$6 million for this Action Item?
- MS. MCLEAN: Well, as it's written now, to do the feasibility study with analysis of alternatives, and interim steps, and identifying incentives...yeah, absolutely. I think the \$6 million would cover that.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Wow. Okay.
- MS. MCLEAN: If there are...
- VICE-CHAIR KING: So you would have to hire consultants to do that, is that what you're doing? Okay.
- MS. MCLEAN: Oh, yes. Yes.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Get a contract. Okay. Well, I follow your line of reasoning that, you know, it should be...it's not necessarily the Planning Department that needs to do that plan, who owns the property. But yeah, I was just concerned about that high cost on that ticket item. Okay. Thank you.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Thank you, Member King. Member Lee.
- COUNCILMEMBER LEE: I was just wondering if just using the word support instead of identifying who...consider and supporting the...and support developing, et cetera...programmatic environmental documents, et cetera.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Well, to me, I think that the landowner or the property owner needs to be involved in the process.
- COUNCILMEMBER LEE: The idea of support is a general...is general. Whomever. But the idea is to support developing programmatic environmental documents to assist in implementing managed retreat.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Member Rawlins-Fernandez, as the proposer, do you have a comment to...a response to Member Lee's comment?

March 4, 2021

- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. Perhaps I heard this wrong, but it sounded like the same proposed language from Director McLean.
- CHAIR PALTIN: She...oh, sorry. She proposed taking out the property owner or the landowner and just say, support developing programmatic environmental...instead of consider.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Okay. Okay. Yeah. We can go with that Amendment.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Member Sugimura, did you have a question as well?
- COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: I agree with what Chair Lee is saying and the Department because Kahana Bay is a really good example. I mean, we're...we're standing right by them trying to...you know, get them across the finish line, but it's not our project per se. We have all the, you know, homeowners who are participating. So that's a very good example.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. So all those in favor of the new language instead of consider developing, support developing, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Unanimous with one excused, Member Kama.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Chair?

CHAIR PALTIN: Member King.

- VICE-CHAIR KING: Did we skip...oh, okay. I was looking...I thought we skipped something on page 103. But yours...yours is on 106 as well.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah. We just did that one...all the nonsubstantive ones prior to the recess. So we're good with that.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Well, didn't you have one...didn't you have one that was substantive that we skipped in...

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah, it's the next one up.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Oh, okay.

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah. So I had the next Action Item 1.03. This is how the new language would read that I'm proposing, "Coordinate the establishment of special improvement districts and community facilities districts for areas in West Maui threatened by coastal hazards and meeting specific criteria laid out by the County Council, such as committing to managed retreat." And I think that managed retreat part got cut off,

March 4, 2021

sorry, on the transmittal. But the reason why I added those words and took out "as appropriate" is if you recall, we discussed in WIT at the end of the year that we need to determine the criteria the County is willing to accept to utilize the CFD process, especially if all shoreline areas come for CFD at once. We need some sort of criteria like that...they are committing to managed retreat, or what. Because I guess an issue of fairness is going to come up, and...and when we have limited resources and...you know, the sea level starts rising for real, we're going to be in a world of trouble if we don't qualify that. So that's my proposal, any discussion on that? No. Okay.

VICE-CHAIR KING: I support.

CHAIR PALTIN: Member Lee.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: What if the County Council has not come up with any criteria?

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: We will. We're working on it. We're working on it.

VICE-CHAIR KING: We're working on it.

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Yeah.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Okay.

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: I have a question about that.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Wait, wait. I'm not done. I'm not done.

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Oh, sorry.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: I just want to make sure that we don't require anything which does not exist, you know. Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Director McLean, followed by Member Sugimura, and Member Rawlins-Fernandez.

MS. MCLEAN: Thank you, Chair. I just wanted to note that the Planning Department has recently contracted with a municipal adviser to assist us and the Council in moving forward with the CFD process for Kahana Bay but, you know, there may be others. And one of the first tasks is to develop a policy for the Council's consideration and discussion. So I do think that that is likely to happen probably after Budget, but soon enough. And the understanding...the feedback we're getting from the Council is that you want to see an adopted policy in place before you consider a CFD. So that will be coming to you as one of the first things. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIR PALTIN: Thank you, Director. Member Sugimura, followed by Member Rawlins-Fernandez.

March 4, 2021

- COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Yeah. So I was just going to say what Director said, so thank you very much for working on it. It's going to come to my Committee and I hope all of us will talk about it. But tying back to what Chair Lee has said, if we put into this...your language about managed retreat, then are we saying that we are obligated to purchase that land. That's the only thing I'm worried about, if we...if we say we are going to do this, and that's going to be part of the outcome. Kahana Bay is a good example, right. I mean, are we then committed? Are we by saying this, committing ourselves to have to...
- CHAIR PALTIN: No. That's not how I read it. It's just that, you know, they need specific criteria laid out by the County Council in order to enact this CFD, and one example of a criteria could be committing to managed retreat. Because for Kahana Bay, you know, they want those T-groyne revetments, I believe, and that could work for shoreline erosion, but with sea level rise, it won't stop that. And so, you know, it's really just a temporary fix. So that's an example.
- COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: As long as...as long as it's not putting words into our mouth that we're going to have to, you know...
- CHAIR PALTIN: I can check in with Mr. Mitchell. Would this language as read commit the County to purchasing the land? Mr. Mitchell, if you're on the call?
- MR. MITCHELL: Aloha, Chair. I'm sorry, could you repeat the language for me? I was multi-tasking there.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Sure thing. Coordinate the establishment of special improvement districts and community facilities districts for areas in West Maui threatened by coastal hazards, and meeting specific criteria laid out by the County Council, such as committing to managed retreat.

MR. MITCHELL: And the question, Chair?

CHAIR PALTIN: That wouldn't commit the County to buying the land, would it?

MR. MITCHELL: No. The active word there was coordinate.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Cool. Thank you.

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Thank you.

CHAIR PALTIN: Member Rawlins-Fernandez.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. Yeah. So for Council Chair Lee's concern about specific criteria, I was thinking perhaps appropriate criteria. And then that way, if there...it would give it that room that if criteria hasn't been developed yet. But I'm with you, that if I'm on the Council, I wouldn't support a CFD that didn't have criteria established first.

March 4, 2021

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. I'm okay with appropriate. I'll take that as a friendly Amendment.

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: No objection.

CHAIR PALTIN: All right. All those in favor, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Unanimous with one excused, Member Kama. Okay. Moving right along, the next one is for 1.07 on page 106. Okay. So the original 1.07 was deleted, and Member Rawlins-Fernandez would like to reinstate it, I believe. So that would move the current 1.07 down and renumber everything else. So her proposal is to put back in the original 1.07, I believe...obtain funding for additional sea level rise exposure modeling, incorporating local data for six feet or more of sea level rise to use in vulnerability assessment of critical infrastructure and facilities. suggestion from a presentation entitled "Planning for Coastal Hazards and Community Resilience in West Maui" with Tara Owens and Brad Romine during CPAC. Other strategies and needs develop innovative and sustainable financing incentives to support adaptation to sea level rise, tools such as improvement districts and community facilities districts...which we just went over. This one, conduct detailed vulnerability assessments for existing critical infrastructure, use six feet in plans for critical low tolerance, long-lived infrastructure, and commission additional sea level rise exposure modeling for six feet or more of sea level rise to use in vulnerability assessment of critical infrastructure. So any discussion? Yes, Member Sinenci.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Thank you, Chair. Yeah, I was just thinking if we're going to be either adding, would also the...page 107, the types, priorities, timings, funding sources will also be added to any of the additions as well?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes. And I'm assuming that Member Rawlins-Fernandez would go back to what it was in the CPAC version, which...

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Oh, okay.

CHAIR PALTIN: I need to run and get that book.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: And then just a general question for Director McLean. When it has estimated costs in the second to the last row of, say, 200,000 County and State...we're not specifically saying where those funding items...I mean, we're saying where the funding sources are coming from, are they generally from the Planning Department, per se?

MS. MCLEAN: That'll depend on the Council and the Budget. But I...I...it could be State because, as you know, the existing sea level rise viewer, that was all generated...all generated from the State. And I...I believe...I'm looking through my files...I believe we might have recommended that it be deleted in the first place, and I'm looking through

March 4, 2021

to try to recall why that was. And I think it's because that...that has been a State responsibility, and that that has been done already on a statewide level, and they've even done modifications specific to Maui at our request to take into consideration special geologic conditions in different locations that might alter how that is. So I --

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: I guess...

MS. MCLEAN: -- I couldn't recommend deleting it because it would be a State responsibility.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Oh, okay. Because I guess my general question is during the entire CPAC process, if we're adding estimated costs, are...are these just kind of generated as a...in order for us to...to address this action plan, we would need this set amount. We don't know necessarily where it's coming from...there's the timing on here too. So if it's a long-term timing, we're considering future funding in another fiscal year. Is that normally how it works?

MS. MCLEAN: Yes. Different departments that are responsible for these actions might put these items in their proposed Budgets when the Council is considering the next fiscal year. And the justification would be that it's in the plan, and this is the estimated amount. And of course, those amounts can shift.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Okay.

MS. MCLEAN: But that would be your guiding document. Yeah.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Okay, great. Thank you. Thank you for your clarification. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIR PALTIN: Sure thing. And I did get the CPAC version. So the type would be project. Priority, low. Timing, short. County Lead Agency, Planning Department. Estimated costs, \$200,000. And funding source, County and State. Member Rawlins-Fernandez...or, sorry. Member King, you had a question or comment?

VICE-CHAIR KING: Yeah, thank you. So if we...I agree that the State has already done this, but this one says, obtain funding for additional sea level rise exposure modeling. So that's...you know, if we need additional information, you know, besides what the State has provided in that report. But my question is, if we...there's a possibility of getting Federal funding for this type of work as well, or grants from Federal agencies, so not having the word Federal in here, that doesn't preclude us from getting...I mean, if we get a grant or funding from anywhere else, it doesn't...it doesn't mean we can't take it, right? Or do we need to add that word Federal in there? Because I think with this new Administration, there's going to be more support for this kind of...you know, there's actually a recognition of climate change now. So hopefully we'll get some funding from the Federal Government for these type of actions. So anyway, I just wanted to point out that we should also be looking to our Federal Government for this kind of funding support.

March 4, 2021

- CHAIR PALTIN: No problem. Member Rawlins-Fernandez, any comment on Director McLean's comments?
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. Yeah. So as Member King pointed out, it's additional funding, and keeping the funding vague so we're not saying, you know, specifically where it would need to come from. And it...it could come from the Federal Government, or it could come from private sources, so the funding in itself could come from wherever it would need to. We all know how much all this type of work is going to cost. So I would prefer to keep it in or reinsert it.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. And so we can also friendly Amendment add private and Federal sources as well. So any further discussion about reinstating this language? And then I guess the Amendment would include renumbering from there out. Yes, Member Rawlins-Fernandez.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. I think by saying Federal or private, we've excluded State. So if State wanted to give more money, we'd want to accept that money.
- CHAIR PALTIN: In the CPAC version, it does have State already, so I just was saying adding Federal and private. So then it would have County, State, Federal and private.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Okay. Mahalo, Chair.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Sure. Member King.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Yeah. You said adding a new number...I guess I was reading this because it says Action Number 1.07 that this would replace what's in there right now?
- CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah. So in the CPAC original version of the draft, this was number 1.07, and then the Maui Planning Commission removed this and renumbered.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Oh, okay. So we're not replacing what's in there already under 1.07. That's what I was reading this as.
- CHAIR PALTIN: No. Yeah. We're just...
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Okay. So we're just adding this back in as an additional number now. Okay.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Okay. And then, you know, the other thing I wanted to mention is a lot of these...like this one especially should be Countywide. So I just kind of wanted to make a note that I'm hoping that the Planning Department recognizes this as something that should go in other community plans for our other coastal communities as well.

March 4, 2021

CHAIR PALTIN: Did you want an answer from Director McLean?

VICE-CHAIR KING: Yeah. I mean, there's...it's...there's a lot of these in here that should apply also to South Maui because we have the same experiences. So I don't know if these funding amounts are meant to cover the entire island, or just this section then we're going to put another amount in for South Maui as well. But since...maybe we could just get a comment on that from the Director.

CHAIR PALTIN: Director McLean, any comment?

MS. MCLEAN: Thanks, Chair. The way we read this is that it is particular to West Maui, that's why the dollar amount is what it is. If it were Countywide, it would be more than that. But, you know, it's not to say that if we wanted to undertake something like this in part because it's in the plan that we would just say, we're only going to do West Maui, you know, we could ask for more funding to do other areas or to do the entire County.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Okay. I just wanted to kind of keep that in the back of our minds as we go forward with the South Maui Community Plan as well because a lot of these are applicable. You did a lot of the work for us already. Thank you.

CHAIR PALTIN: Heavy lifting. Okay. All those in support of the Amendment as proposed by Member Rawlins-Fernandez and the renumbering thereout [sic] raise your hand and...did you have a question or are you voting, Member Sugimura? Oh, okay. Raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Unanimous with one excused, Member Kama. Moving right along onto page...

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: I have a question.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Sure.

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: I have a question about a word in...or about 1.04. So you want to just continue on or just can I ask my question?

CHAIR PALTIN: Oh, yeah. Please do ask your question.

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Okay. Because we're on the page. So 1.04...1.04 work with affected property owners to create and implement beach management plans for beach cells. So what are beach cells in West Maui?

March 4, 2021

CHAIR PALTIN: It's a...it's an area that the sand movement occurs in, like based on the waves from various directions that they figure that...like say, revetments at a certain spot will affect a cell, like a...

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: An area.

CHAIR PALTIN: An area. Yeah. That...

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Okay.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. All right.

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Okay. It's a commonly understood term then?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah. Amongst, I guess, marine biologist types. Any other questions on page 106? No. Okay. Moving right along, 108, this is kind of nonsubstantive, but it's substantive to me. Action Number 1.12, and I'd just like to take out consider and replace it with encourage. So the new wording should say, "Develop a wildfire information campaign with signage to build public awareness of wildfire hazards, improve community awareness of the human, economic, and environmental costs associated with wildfires caused by negligence or accident. Engage the community to create and maintain firebreaks, and to"...this is my change..."encourage native dryland plants in landscaping in the dryer areas of West Maui." Kind of explanatory, self-explanatory. Member Sinenci.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Thank you, Chair. Just a clarification. So the County Lead Agency would be the Fire Department.

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: The Maui County Fire Department. And I know that during your fires, any of the telephone poles and the actual wires were burnt as well. I don't know if, you know, whether it was contributing to fires or not. But is there any responsibility on the electric company, or is this just within our purview, I guess...within the County's purview?

CHAIR PALTIN: What I've heard is they used to do brush abatement under the lines, power lines, but they don't do that anymore, and there has been more wildfire. But I'm not sure that's something we have under our purview to cause them to do that brush abatement underneath of the lines. I know it was very costly...maybe not as costly as that 2018 fire, but...

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: And should it require additional funding that the Department will...will approach the Council for additional funding. It doesn't have any estimated costs.

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah.

March 4, 2021

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. All right. So all those in favor of that small Amendment, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: Unanimous with one excused, Member Kama. Okay. Next one for me is 1.13, and it's the same as read, except for I added on, "Maui Fire Department recommendations in regards to defensible space and required ongoing maintenance shall be easily accessible online." You know, just so that folks know what's expected of them. They don't have to go look in the Fire Code, and then look all these other places. Just put it up on your website so people know. And I...I did speak with Chief Thyne about this, and he agreed he liked the idea. And this corresponds with Policy 2.1.7. Any discussion? All those in favor, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: All right. Unanimous, with Member Kama excused. And two more on this page 108. For 1.15, the new proposal would read, "Build a new fire station along Honoapiilani Highway south of Lāhainā and landward of the SLR-XA Extreme Tsunami Inundation Line and Special Management Area to address increased call volume and improve response time in that area." And also amending the estimated costs, instead of "TBA" it should say "TBD." And my reasoning is, it's crucial that our Emergency Response Facilities are as safe as possible from impacts of climate change. Because, you know, fire stations...we're not going to build another one in 20 years in the same area, so it needs to be, you know, in a safe location. Any discussion on that one? Member Rawlins-Fernandez.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. I support this Amendment. For SLR-XA, as we did in Section 2, do you want to have that spelled out?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah. That's fine with me.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Okay.

CHAIR PALTIN: Friendly Amendment, spell out SLR-XA. Okay.

MS. LILLIS: Chair? This is Ana.

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes. Ana.

MS. LILLIS: Thank you. For that kind of Amendment, we can work with you, you know, to spell out any sort of abbreviations as well for the rest of the document, and that doesn't need to be taken up individually or by section. Thanks.

March 4, 2021

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Thank you. Member Sugimura, did you have a question?

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Yeah. So I was just curious...so what did the community say...or where is this location that they're...did it come up during CPAC?

CHAIR PALTIN: It didn't come up. I believe...I'm not sure if he's a Battalion Chief or a Captain. Kaulana Kino came and spoke with the Members and, you know, I think there's...when the winds are up, there's calls at Ukumehame area for kayakers blown out, you know, when there's car accidents and things like that, they need to get through the traffic of Lāhainā Town and get to the south facing areas. I did speak with Chief Thyne...

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: No location? Oh, sorry.

CHAIR PALTIN: But I spoke with Chief Thyne about it, and this was like much prior to CPAC. He said that the location that he would prefer is south of Puamana, but north of Launiupoko. That way it's...you know they don't have to get through town, they get a straight shot that way. And if there's events that are townward [sic], they can...they can assist that way as well. But there is no location chosen by the Fire Department at this time. Member...according to Chief Thyne, I'm sorry.

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Thank you.

CHAIR PALTIN: Member King. Yes, Member King.

VICE-CHAIR KING: I just wanted to share with everybody, I just got a message that that tsunami watch...speaking of tsunamis...has been issued for Hawai'i...first wave could hit at 4:35 p.m. today, 8.1, I think...I guess that was off the coast of New Zealand.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Speaking of which...the question I had was...and maybe, Michele, you can answer this. Because we just talked about this in the new SMA rules that we have this new hazard erosion line. And so is that within, or is that behind all these other lines that we're talking about?

CHAIR PALTIN: Director McLean.

MS. MCLEAN: The sea level rise...the sea level rise exposure area and the erosion hazard line are different things. They...they depict different things. Sea level rise is just sea level rise. The erosion hazard line couples sea level rise with projected erosion. So they are different.

VICE-CHAIR KING: I just wanted to make sure that we didn't have to put that in here that these other things would cover. Do we need to add that...the erosion hazard line into this statement of where they want the fire station, or is it going to be well behind these other lines...the Tsunami Inundation, the SLR-XA, the Special Management Area.

March 4, 2021

CHAIR PALTIN: It would be behind the Extreme Tsunami Inundation, but I'll defer to Director McLean.

MS. MCLEAN: I don't think there would be any harm in adding the erosion hazard line.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Okay.

CHAIR PALTIN: I take that as a friendly Amendment from Member King to add in the erosion hazard line. Member Sinenci.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Thank you, Chair. I was just curious if the West Maui community had specifically come up with a location or this was just a general...to have a fire station south of Lāhainā.

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah. We didn't come up with a location, and this Action Item was, as I said before, based on Mr. Kino...and I'm not sure of his positions, but he's a Lāhainā firefighter...suggestion.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Okay. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIR PALTIN: Sure thing. Member Rawlins-Fernandez.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. I wasn't sure if you did this already, or if perhaps you cannot...you don't see her on your screen, but Pro Temp Kama is here.

CHAIR PALTIN: No, I didn't. Welcome, Councilmember Kama. Aloha kakahiaka.

COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: Aloha kakahiaka, Chair. And pardon me for being tardy this morning, I had to take care of some errands. Thank you.

CHAIR PALTIN: Thank you for coming. And we're just on page 108, Action Item 1.15 right now.

COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: Yes. I'm with you. Thank you.

CHAIR PALTIN: Thank you. Okay. So is there any further discussion on this Amendment? Seeing none. All those in favor, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: Member Rawlins-Fernandez, are you opposed?

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Oh, sorry. My screen is frozen. Okay.

March 4, 2021

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. So that's unanimous. All right. Moving along, the next one is on page 108 as well. It's 1.16, Develop a plan to provide fire protection for homes on kuleana lands. And I just would like to raise the priority on this to high because, you know, lives are at stake, so it's...and the cost is...I mean, you just need to develop a plan. So if there is no objection, I'd like to make that Amendment.

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: No objections.

CHAIR PALTIN: All those...yes, Member Molina.

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: No. I was just stating no objections.

CHAIR PALTIN: Oh, okay. All those in favor, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Unanimous. Before we move on to the next page, I just wanted to ask if there are any questions on page 108? Member Molina.

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Yeah. Thank you very much, Madam Chair. Page 108, regarding the Fire Station. Since this is noted as a high priority, has the Administration or the Fire Department taken a stand in support of this proposal, and if Administration knows if the Mayor was going to be including this in his FY2022 Budget Proposal? If that can be answered today? Yeah.

CHAIR PALTIN: Director McLean, or Ms. Maydan? Or anyone from Planning.

- MS. MAYDAN: Mahalo, Chair. Regarding the question of whether the Fire Department is aware of the action, yes, they are. We coordinated throughout our process to make sure that all departments and agencies were aware of the actions that were in the plan, and they provided comments and sometimes recommended changes. To the question of whether this is in the Mayor's proposed FY2022 Budget, I cannot answer that. Perhaps Director McLean can.
- MS. MCLEAN: Sorry, Chair. I don't know. We didn't go so far as to check in with Departments for what they are proposing in FY'22.
- COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Okay. All right. I guess we'll wait for the Budget...the Mayor's Budget Proposal to come my way. And Madam Chair, I just got one more question for the Planning Department. Page 108, Action 1.10, Adjustments to the SMA Boundaries to be moved inland. Who will be conducting the adjustments, and what level of participation will the community have in this process?
- CHAIR PALTIN: From the document it says the Lead Agency would be the Planning Department. Director McLean or Ms. Maydan, would you care to respond?

March 4, 2021

MS. MCLEAN: Which Action Number is that? I apologize.

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: 1.10, page 108.

MS. MCLEAN: Okay. That is something that would be appropriate for the Planning Department to initiate. We could follow the same process that we did for Lānaʿi, which is first putting together a proposed map that follows the criteria in HRS 205A...to map where those features are and propose moving the boundary to...in order to protect them. And there was a lot of community outreach in that process, so that would be absolutely appropriate for that...for West Maui as well. And like your earlier question, I don't know that we would necessarily stop at West Maui. That would certainly make it a much longer process, but it would kind of make sense to go region by region just to take it in small pieces because it would be such a big project. But absolutely, there would be community input along the way, and then public hearings before the Planning Commission for...for them to consider adopting new boundaries.

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Madam Director. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR PALTIN: Thank you, Member Molina. And moving right along to page 110, Action Item 1.2. I'm proposing to amend it by adding, "and maintain a current inventory of." So it would say, "Identify and maintain a current inventory of additional emergency shelter facilities that includes storage of supplies and are located in the safest identifiable areas, given the risk of natural or manmade disasters." Any discussion on that Amendment, Members? All those in favor, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: Any opposed? Okay. I'm counting that as unanimous Amendment.

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Madam Chair?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes, Member Molina.

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Can I ask a ...can I ask a question on 1.10 as well?

CHAIR PALTIN: Sure.

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Regarding that same topic...I guess for the Department, was there any extended conversations about the use of the old cane haul roads for emergency or road closure purposes? Was that issue brought up? Just curious. Maybe from Ms. Maydan.

CHAIR PALTIN: Ms. Maydan. I'm not sure...

MS. MAYDAN: I remember discussions...thank you. I remember discussions of using the old cane haul roads for multi-use...multi-use paths, like the proposed West Maui

March 4, 2021

greenway. I do not recall discussions regarding emergency purposes. I wouldn't say my memory is 100 percent accurate, but it doesn't rise to the top of my memory as far as that being a big discussion with the community.

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Okay. Thank you. I was just curious.

- CHAIR PALTIN: And if I can add to that. Most of the residents know the alternative off-road ways around things. And so when one way is blocked off, they'll jam the other way and then the tourists are kind of just left as it is. So that could be a reason why it wasn't brought up, is because a majority of folks just use them if they're not gated anyway.
- COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Oh, okay. All right. Keep it as a local secret, I guess, huh. Okay. All right. Thank you. I was just curious. Thank you, Madam Chair. And thank you, Ms. Maydan.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Sure thing. Okay. And let's see...I got a message here. Okay. Perfect. And the next one is 1.22, and the proposed Amendment would read as such, "Develop and implement a more robust and alternative backup communication system for use during emergencies." And so I did think that our communication systems were robust until they go down and then we don't have communication, so that's the reason for alternative. And in speaking with Member King in the past, she meant robust as in start sending out information, you know. Recently I think there was a fire within the last year, and the information from the County didn't go out before the power got cut off. And so it was kind of difficult, you know. So one is the way that you transmit the information, and then the other is the message. And we'd like both to be more robust and alternative. Member Molina.
- COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Yeah, Madam Chair. Just thinking about the use of the word extensive. I mean, I don't know if that would have any application to this. You know, robust and extensive communication system because I guess we're talking about like what Member...you had mentioned about Member King encouraging, you know, getting...disseminating as much information as possible. I just kind of throw that out there...use of the word extensive in that or some other word that as applicable to...

CHAIR PALTIN: Or instead of robust...a more extensive...

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Or both. Yeah. Extensive, robust. Whatever you feel is more appropriate. Or using both.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Did you have a preference between robust or extensive? Or both?

VICE-CHAIR KING: Are you asking me?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah.

March 4, 2021

- VICE-CHAIR KING: Yeah. I was kind of including the idea of extensive in that word robust. So, you know, I don't know. It's just wordsmithing. So it doesn't really matter to me if we want to add it on just to be specific, but I thought it covered both.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. I...I...when I read robust, I didn't really understand what it meant, so I'd...I'd prefer extensive.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Can we put that in...can we put that along with robust and extensive then?
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Develop and implement a more robust, extensive, and alternative backup communication system for use during emergencies. Everyone okay with that? All those in favor raise your...oh.

COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: Chair? Chair?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes. Member Kama.

COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: I just have a clarifying question. So the intent is to technically saturate the communication so that no matter where the communication goes, there's nothing left unturned. Is that the intent?

CHAIR PALTIN: You know, like during emergencies...

COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: Yeah.

CHAIR PALTIN: You want to know the road is closed. You want to know stay home. Charge your devices. Whatever the information is, we need to get it out. And if the phone lines go down, if the internet goes down, how are we going to get the message out to the people...like sirens, bullhorns, I don't know. But...

COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: By all means possible.

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes.

COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: Okay. Thank you. Thank you. Got it.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. All those in favor, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Unanimous. Okay. Next one is 1.23. My proposal as amended would read, "Develop or partner to create resiliency hubs throughout the West Maui community" instead of "Develop a resiliency hub appropriate for the West Maui community." Any discussion on that one? Just figure, you know, we can work with private entities and whatnot...schools and other agencies. All those in favor, raise your hand and say "aye."

March 4, 2021

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: Any opposed? Unanimous Amendment. Also on page 110, I have number 1.26, and the Amendment as proposed would read, "Identify and implement temporary safety measures, such as signage and lane shifts, to alert the public of dangerous road conditions due to sea level rise and climate change." And then I would add in, "Specifically during extreme high tides or large surf from the south or west in the areas south of the bypass." And then I would put that on MPD and DPW. And the reason why I say that is if you go to and from West Maui during large surf or extreme high tides, the water is coming over that break wall. And so sometimes when the waves hit the break wall, some of the drivers will like swerve into the other lane of traffic. And a simple remedy...there's a big shoulder on the mauka side...a simple remedy would be just shifting everyone over when we know that there is expected high surf or expected king tides. And you know, it hasn't been done. People just get their cars durshed [sic] or they swerve. So that's my specific recommendation. If folks aren't aware of that issue without adding in that language, they might not understand what the recommendation or Action Item is. Any discussion there, folks? Member Rawlins-Fernandez.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. So for the...where it says specifically during...is the intent here to emphasize these conditions. So my proposal, if that was the intention, is like especially or particularly instead of specifically, which is more limiting, and especially or particularly is more of an emphasis.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Yeah. I'll take especially as a friendly Amendment.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair.

CHAIR PALTIN: All right. So all those in favor of this Amendment, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: I don't see Member Johnson. Oh, I see him.

VICE-CHAIR KING: He's there.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. All right. Thank you. Amendment passes. We already took out the nonsubstantive one on 110. Any further questions on this page before we move onto the next page? Seeing none. We'll be moving on to page 112. And we'll begin with 1.33 on Councilmember Keani Rawlins-Fernandez's Amendment Summary Form. Her Amendment is...would read as follows, "Study and implement other disposal means to strive to eliminate the use of underground injection control wells, and transition from the use of wastewater injection control wells to appropriate environmentally sound methods of wastewater disposal and promote beneficial use of wastewater effluent." And this is removing and replacing with original CPAC language. Members, any

March 4, 2021

- discussion? Member Rawlins-Fernandez, would you like the Department to speak to this, or did you have anything more to add?
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. I don't have anything more to add. I'm open to hearing comments from the Department if that is your preference. And really quickly, I'll just repeat what I messaged you in the chat, that my internet is not optimal right now, and my video seems to be consistently freezing. So if you don't see my hand up right away, please assume that I am voting in favor of a motion, and if I oppose the motion, I will speak up. Mahalo, Chair.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Thank you. Anyone from the Department care to make a comment on that, or are we all good in reverting to the CPAC language.
- MS. MCLEAN: Chair, the Planning Department doesn't have any comment on it. I don't know if you want to get feedback from the Department of Environmental Management, but we don't have any comment. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. All right. Thank you. Any further discussion? Member Lee.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: No. I'll say something after you take the vote.

CHAIR PALTIN: Oh, okay. Member Sinenci, is this a before or after?

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: I can ask now. So just a clarification for estimated costs under 1.33. The \$250,000 is actually \$2.5 million then?

CHAIR PALTIN: I believe it would be \$250 million.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: \$250 million. Okay. Thank you for that clarification.

CHAIR PALTIN: You're welcome. Member King.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Thank you, Chair. So I just wanted to ask Director McLean the...you know, we've all seen the press release and the \$26 million project, and that was the goal...that was one of the goals is to...is to try to start retiring the injection wells. So DEM is going to be asking for...so that's the kind of project that would go into this and that would be counted towards that \$250 million.

MS. MCLEAN: I would think so.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Okay. I'm going to...I'm going to...I'm going to count it towards that \$250 million plus the \$13 million that we've already put into the Budget for, you know, the recycled water. So we've been...we've been putting money into this to try to get that to happen.

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah.

March 4, 2021

VICE-CHAIR KING: And by the way, Chair, I just sent my...I was trying to find out what...speaking of robust communication system, what communication has gone out to the County of Maui about this potential tsunami from the earthquake.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Yeah.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Because I am...I am way inside the evacuation zone, so I'm not sure if and when I'll have to be evacuating. Hopefully after this meeting is over if that happens, but...

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah. You'll see us in partial activation at this time is what I've heard.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Yeah.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Chair?

VICE-CHAIR KING: I was...I just trying to figure out what communication is going out to the rest of Maui about this.

CHAIR PALTIN: Chair Lee.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: That's what I was going to say after the vote. What I have. Okay.

CHAIR PALTIN: Oh, okay. All right. So let's take the vote then. All those in favor, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: All right. I got eight in favor and one excused. Amendment passes. Go ahead, Chair Lee. Enlighten us.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Okay. I just got a text from Sandy Baz. And as Member King mentioned earlier, we are on a tsunami watch, and he will give me an update in 30 minutes when he gets his update. And this occurred near New Zealand, and a hurricane...not hurricane...earthquake with a magnitude of 8.0. So right now, we do not...that's all I have. And as soon as I get the next update from Mr. Baz, I will send it on to you. I will try and email that to you...to all of you.

CHAIR PALTIN: Thank you so much.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Okay.

CHAIR PALTIN: I'm going to go through as much as I can until then. Moving right along.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Okay. Wait. Don't put us...don't put us at risk now. If we have to drive home to Kīhei, or drive home someplace, yeah.

March 4, 2021

VICE-CHAIR KING: Well, I'm home. And I'm at risk at home because I'm in the evacuation zone, so...

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Okay. Then you have to get out of there.

VICE-CHAIR KING: If I have to go, you'll know why I'm gone.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Okay.

COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: Don't worry, Chair, you won't lose quorum though.

CHAIR PALTIN: All right. Let's just do as much as we can in the time we have left. Next up on page 112, 1.36 Action Item, this is my Amendment. And it's going to read, "Identify locations and develop or partner to create recycling drop-off centers that would collect and transfer all viable recyclables and regulated EPA and DOH materials, such as green waste, appliances, eWaste, tires, and lead acid batteries." I think we can just accomplish more with partnering with private entities or public private partnerships. So I think I explained that the last one with the resilience hub. So all those in favor, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: And I'll take that as a unanimous approval of the Amendment. Does anyone have any further questions on this page 112 before we move on? Seeing none.

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Chair?

CHAIR PALTIN: Oh.

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Sorry, Chair. I just had one question. Regarding Action 1.32, the recycled water for parks, golf courses. Was there any discussion for use of recycled water for landscaping of the common area and in commercial or residential projects where transmission would be available. I don't know if that might be a question for Ms. Maydan.

CHAIR PALTIN: Ms. Maydan. I see that it does say including, but not limited to, so...Ms. Maydan, do you have anything to expand on?

MS. MAYDAN: I can just share that the use of recycled water was definitely a discussion with the community and with CPAC...a lot of support for it. A lot of conversations with the Department of Environmental Management as far as how they plan to expand that use, and it's definitely a priority of the Department and a priority of the community. As far as real specific discussions, landscaped areas and commercial areas, I don't know if that was a discussion that was necessarily had, but strong support for the use of recycled water for sure.

March 4, 2021

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: So it was just mostly discussed broadly, I guess, yeah, not with specifics in certain areas. Okay. All right. Thank you, Ms. Maydan. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Sure. No problem.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Chair?

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Moving right along. The next...

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Chair?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes, Member Sinenci.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Thank you, Chair. Real quick. So it looks like 1.38 and 1.40 on page 112, the Department of Public Works has two CIP projects. One of them slated for 2023-2024 for storm water runoff, yeah. I mean...just to highlight. So if they've already got it in the Budget, whether it be design or construction, then we can put some of these things already right into the plan.

CHAIR PALTIN: Ms. Maydan, any comment?

MS. MAYDAN: No comment. Just that we absolutely did coordinate with the agencies on the actions, and especially the CIP actions.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR PALTIN: Thank you, Member Sinenci. Okay. Moving along. Any questions on page 114? If there are none, that brings up to the end of 2.1 or...wait, what is it? Sorry. 2.1, yeah. Good job. Pat yourselves on the back. Okay. Next up we're on 2.2 goals, a Complete Balance and Connected Transportation Network. And I'm not sure if I mentioned this before and we voted on it, but everywhere on the top where it says West Maui Community Plan, Maui Planning Commission Draft, I wanted to put what goal it was. So when you're looking at the goal, you know, oh, we're on a Complete Balanced and Connected Transportation Network. Did I mention that to you folks already? Okay. Good. Good. All right. So the first one on 116 is 2.04. I just would like to change the priority from low to medium. And this was create a marketing program aimed at visitors to use multi-modal transportation options to West Maui, including bus, shuttles, taxi, ride-share, bicycling, walking, to encourage a car-free stay. Because you know, that...pau hana traffic and when the big jets land is kind of legendary on the pali. So just changing that priority from low to medium. All those in favor, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: Unanimous. All right. Any further questions?

March 4, 2021

VICE-CHAIR KING: Chair?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes, Member King.

VICE-CHAIR KING: On that one...is it the expectation that there would be a campaign that

would be island-wide?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Okay.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. And any further questions on 116? Seeing none. Let's move on to page 118. Any questions on 118? Seeing none. Moving on to...or page 120. I have 2.21, and my Amendment would just add in the word "wetlands." And so it would read as follows, "Work in partnership with State Department of Transportation to prioritize and facilitate realignment of Honoapiilani Highway out of the coastal hazard zone, and manage public lands makai of the new highway as wetlands, public parks, and open space." And the reason I added that in there is one of my Budget items from last fiscal year was to clean up that mile marker 13.5 area. And in working with the Managing Director and some of the Ridge to Reef groups, Emily Fielding from the Nature Conservancy said, you know, that's a wetland area, and I...and we wanted to try and leverage the \$100,000. And I heard back from Mr. Nishita that they might be able to get \$400,000 off the \$100,000 that we put in the Budget last year to clean that area up because there's money out there for managing wetlands, you know, especially if the County put money up to begin with. And so I thought that it's important to recognize that, and then we can leverage our work to protect the wetlands at the Federal level and State level. Member Sinenci.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Thank you, Chair. Did you want to include the County's funding source because of your last statement?

CHAIR PALTIN: Oh. Sure, we can ...we can just put County, State and Federal.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Okay.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. All those in favor of that...Member Kama, did you have a question? I can't hear you.

COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: Thank you. So I just wanted to ask...you know, as we want to realign Honoapiilani Highway out of the coastal zone, does that mean that we're going to have...that the lands on both sides are all public? Are we assuming that it's all public lands, and that we can do that without...

CHAIR PALTIN: The County owns a good portion of it, as well as the State. There are portions that aren't owned by the Government. So they'll have to work that out. Just like I think, you know, the northern terminus is gonna go through Kā'anapali Land

March 4, 2021

- Management's property. So it's...if they don't own the land already, they need to work something out.
- COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: So those are going to be impacted by this then. All the other private landowners, right? So we're going to have to...
- CHAIR PALTIN: No. Because it says managed public lands.
- COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: Oh, okay. Okay. Just the public lands. Okay. Okay. I got it. Okay.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Sure. Member Sinenci.
- COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: And then a friendly Amendment to the estimated cost, maybe from N/A to TBD.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Yeah. Sure. That sounds good. TBD. Good...good Amendment. All right. All those in favor of those Amendments as stated, raise your hand and say "aye."
- COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.
- CHAIR PALTIN: And that's unanimously amended. Okay. Any further questions on page 120?
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Chair?
- CHAIR PALTIN: Member Rawlins-Fernandez.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. First, I just had a nonsubstantive proposed Amendment. On 2.14 on page 118 and 120, Action Item 2.22, the "T" in Lāhainā Town to be either capitalized throughout, or not capitalized throughout...just for consistency.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Members, do you prefer capitalized Town or not capitalized town throughout?
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Capitalized.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Capitalized. Okay. All those in favor of capitalized Town throughout the document, raise your hand and say "aye."
- COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. We got that one passed unanimously.

March 4, 2021

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Score. All right. I have one more proposal on this page.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: For 2.19, establish a regional network of multiuse bicycle and pedestrian paths and protected bike lanes in West Maui. For pedestrian paths...I guess this is a question for Director McLean. Is that assuming that it would be inclusive of all abilities?

CHAIR PALTIN: Director McLean.

MS. MCLEAN: Thank you. This is 2.19?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Yes.

MS. MCLEAN: Multi-use...multi-use generally suggests for all users and all kinds of mobility. So scooters, wheelchairs, bikes.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Okay. Director, is that...is the term "multi-use" defined somewhere in the beginning of the plan so that it specifies that it includes all abilities? Oh, I think you're muted.

CHAIR PALTIN: It's not in Appendix G, I know.

- MS. MCLEAN: Multi-modal is defined as transportation in Land Use Planning that considers diverse transportation options, equally including walking, and public transit, and automobile, and accounts for land use factors that affect accessibility. But multi-use is not defined in the plan at the moment.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Okay. Chair, I would like to...I know that that's not the section that we're on, but when we get there, I'd like to define multi-use so that it specifically and clearly includes everyone of all abilities in our County. Mahalo, Chair.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. We'll take that up in Section 5. All right. If there's no further questions, comments, or suggestions, we're done with Goal 2.2. Good job, gang. Moving right along, we're now on page 122. And my Amendment Summary Form is for Action Item 3.01, which would...this is just kind of hybridizing the CPAC version and the Maui Planning Commission version. And it would read as follows, "Prepare a management plan for County-controlled properties in County Historic District Number 1 with review by the Cultural Resources Commission to describe the long-range stewardship of these sites and their historical and cultural significance. Install interpretive signs, incorporating 'Ōlelo Hawai'i at County parks and properties in West Maui with historical, cultural, or environmental significance, and consider the

March 4, 2021

installation of a flag pole at Kamehameha Iki Park for King Kamehameha III's royal standard. And since I put this up, I did speak with Theo Morrison of Lāhainā Restoration Foundation, and she would...she's gathering support to do something like this at Moku'ula as well. So when I heard that, I was really happy because it was kind of in alignment with this Action Item. Any discussion, Members, on the proposal? Member Sinenci.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: I just had a consideration for also statues. I know we're working on getting a statue of our ali'i in Hāna. So...and considering the cultural significance and importance of West Maui, you know, we'd love to see more...you know, of core figures, maybe perpetuated in some form of a statue or something. I know this was something that was brought up at the parade meeting as well, according to Member Sugimura. So it's just something I wanted to bring up for your consideration.

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah. Yeah. I mean, I think Member Sugimura and myself decided that there needs to be a little bit more community outreach on this. It didn't come up organically from the West Maui community and, you know, in talks. I think it was Kamehameha III that declared Lāhainā as the capital of the Hawaiian Kingdom. So before adding something like that into the community plan, I would like it to come from the community. And that's not to say that we couldn't pursue it if that's what they want in the future, but being that what came through the community was the flag pole, sharing Kamehameha III's...King Kamehameha III's Royal Standard, I just wanted to keep true to what came through the community organically.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Okay. I'll note it, Chair. Thank you.

CHAIR PALTIN: Thank you. Member Lee.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Just wanted to let you know that there is such a word as hybridizing. Hybridizing. There is such a word. At first I thought you were just making that up. Back in the day, Councilmember Wayne Nishiki talked about the slaughteration of lands. There is no such word. Thank you.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Or wordsmithery.

CHAIR PALTIN: Thanks. Thanks for clarifying that. All in favor of the Amendment as read, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: And that Amendment passes unanimously.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Chair, real quick.

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes. Member Rawlins-Fernandez.

March 4, 2021

- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Nonsubstantive. Capitalizing 'Ōlelo in 'Ōlelo Hawai'i.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Sure thing. Friendly Amendment. I'll take that nonsubstantive. Okay. So then Member Sinenci and myself both proposed Amendments to 3.05.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Chair?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes. Member Sinenci.

- COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Just to preface our Amendment...so we were advised by the Planning Department to go ahead and place the Amendment about future identification of cultural assets through our cultural overlay district process. So this was...this was the area that was proposed for us to place it. So I don't know if it's appropriate with yours in 3.05, or should my Amendment also be possibly another bullet.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah. So my...I like your Amendment. I'm not sure if this...who suggested that it goes along with 3.05. Was it your Staff, or was it the Planning Department?
- COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Nobody. I guess they...they just said that we should...that it would be better placed in the action section of the plan. So this 3.05 seemed like the right place to put it, but I'm open to the Planning Department's recommendation. This was in collaboration with County Archaeologist Dr. Six in future work in this historic district.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Thank you. For me, looking at what's in...what's in quotations and bold, I would love to have that as its own standalone Action Item.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Okay. I'm open. Director McLean?

CHAIR PALTIN: Do you agree with that, Director McLean?

- MS. MCLEAN: Thank you, Chair. I see that Councilmember Sinenci also proposed another new item for this section, and I think that those two go hand in hand. So yes, I agree on that being a separate item, but it could go along with his proposed Amendment to expand the district boundaries. But that's, of course, you know, Councilmember Sinenci's preference and the Committee's preference. But those two seem to go more together, and I...I do have suggested language modification to that other item when you get there.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Shucks. I didn't see Member Sinenci's second one. Did you send another one?
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Chair, I think that's already in there. I think what you're looking at, Director McLean, that part...that part in his Amendment, the proposed Amendment to expand the County historic district, that's already in 3.05. If you look at...if you look

March 4, 2021

at the Chair's Amendment Summary Form, she...that's the first part of the one that she's changing. So he was...so he only...he only proposed the overlay, that overlay section was his proposal.

MS. MCLEAN: Right. But he had a different...a different Amendment sheet to expand the district boundary should new cultural inventories be identified in the County cultural overlay of the plan.

CHAIR PALTIN: Oh, I don't see that. I only see one. I think we only have one.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Yeah, that was...that one was from the last meeting, and then Ms. Maydan said that it was better to be placed in the Action Section of Section 4.

MS. MCLEAN: Right. So that's why I'm --

VICE-CHAIR KING: Oh, I guess I don't have that in front of me.

MS. MCLEAN: -- bringing it up here.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. So if...that would be a separate Action Item as well is what you're saying, Director McLean?

MS. MCLEAN: Yes.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Let's try and see if I can find his previous Amendment Form.

MS. MCLEAN: Would you like me to tap it into...type it into the chat function, would that be easier?

CHAIR PALTIN: Oh, that would be awesome. Thank you so much.

MS. MCLEAN: Okay. I'll do that real quick.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Chair, could I just ask while you're looking for that, could I ask another question about your proposal?

CHAIR PALTIN: Sure.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Because it says once 3.06 is enacted, it will prohibit new vacation rentals without permits. So is that...do you guys have that in your district too, that we have (audio interference) properties.

CHAIR PALTIN: I'm sorry. Can you repeat?

VICE-CHAIR KING: Is that referring to...because new vacation rentals are not allowed unless they have permits already. But are you referring to...do you have, like, the Minatoya list properties, is that what you're referring to?

March 4, 2021

- CHAIR PALTIN: No. In the historic district, there...that's one of the areas where short-term rentals are allowed without a permit.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Oh, it is. So it's sort of like the Minatoya list kind of thing where...because they're getting...
- CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah. There's a lot of additional places where it's allowed like, you know, planned developments like Puamana, it's allowed without permit. I believe Nāpili Bay Civic Improvement District, and like that. So yeah, that's one. And my battery on this computer is getting low, so I'm going to try and sign in on another device. So in...

VICE-CHAIR KING: You want to take a quick break, or...

CHAIR PALTIN: It's coming on right now. Okay. I'm in. All right. I'm going to switch over.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: You're muted.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Your other device looks like it's still on, it's just poor network. There's two...you've got another listing on the screen. Chair, I'm going to excuse myself for about five or ten minutes so I could have a conversation with my husband about whether we're going to start planning for evacuation or not, and then I'll be back. Hopefully.

MS. LILLIS: Chair, this is Staff. You are muted. Chair, you're still muted, unfortunately.

CHAIR PALTIN: How about now? Can you hear me?

MS. LILLIS: Crystal clear.

- CHAIR PALTIN: Oh, okay. Thank you, guys. Sorry about that. Okay. Given that we're going to make Member Sinenci's into its own Action Item, I'd like to proceed with the Amendment that I propose for 3.05, which should read as follows, "Proposed Amendment to expand the County Historic District to include both sides of Front Street from Shaw Street to the Puamana Condo Complex once 3.06 is enacted to prohibit new vacation rentals without permits." Any discussion on that one? Member Rawlins-Fernandez.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. Is there another way to describe the location instead of the Puamana Condo Complex?
- CHAIR PALTIN: We could probably say Aholo Street, I think is the street leading...or just after Puamana; is that correct, Ms. Maydan? Are you aware? I think it's Aholo.
- MS. MAYDAN: I am not aware of the street off the top of my head, but we can certainly look that up.

March 4, 2021

MS. LILLIS: Chair? Chair, this is Staff.

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes.

- MS. LILLIS: If the Committee wants to simply give us their Legislative intent to not describe that area as Puamana, that's fine, we can work on that after. We just need the Legislative intent.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. So the Legislative intent...would the street before Puamana Condo Complex be sufficient to address your concern, Member Rawlins-Fernandez?
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. Yeah. So street names, I think are a little more permanent and then...or long lasting. And since this is supposed to be a 10 to 20-year plan, that it...you know. If Puamana, you know, the name of that area changes, then we would have to change that too.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay.

- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair. So that would be the Legislative intent. Yes.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. All right. And I think it's Aholo Street, but we'll double check on that. Any further comments, questions or suggestions? Seeing none. All those in favor, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: And that Amendment...any opposed? Seeing none. The Amendment passes, eight "ayes," one excused, Member King.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Chair?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes. Member Sinenci.

- COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Thank you, Chair. So if Members are okay with the language that was shared in the chat by Director McLean, we're okay with that language.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. I was thinking if we add those in...oh, shoot, I didn't see the one in the chat. Let me check. Southern terminus of Front Street. Oh, okay. Oh, we can use Southern terminus of Front Street. Councilmember Sinenci's two proposals with my suggested tweak. Develop a cultural overlay map identifying known above-ground resources, the location of important historical events, known burials, and known archaeological resources, and create a cultural overlay district to protect cultural assets and establish an efficient review process for property owners without a (audio interference) and expand the boundaries of Lāhainā Historical Districts 1 and 2, and propose companion Amendments to the National Historical Landmark District should new cultural inventories be identified in the cultural overlay map." And Director

March 4, 2021

- McLean, you're proposing that as one Action Item; is that correct? Did you combine the two, or...
- MS. MCLEAN: I did combine the two, but it is pretty long. They're related, but they are also...you know, just . . . (inaudible). . . tasks. So whatever the Committee prefers.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Members, do you have a preference that this be one Action Item or two Action Items? Two? Okay. And I was thinking of adding them after 3.06 because 3.06 ties in with 3.05. Any comments on that? If that's okay with Member Sinenci, the proposer. Okay.
- COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Yeah. And then would the Leading Agency be the Planning Department?
- CHAIR PALTIN: Director McLean.
- MS. MCLEAN: Yes, Chair. And I think it would be a project. I would suggest a medium priority and mid-timing. Cost, \$250,000, and funding source, County.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Is that amenable to you, Mr. Sinenci?
- COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Yes, Chair.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. So I would propose that those be a new 3.07 and a new 3.08, and that they...we renumber the existing 3.08 after those ones. Member Rawlins-Fernandez.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. So for Member Sinenci's proposal, the priority that was proposed by Director McLean was low. I...I would prefer medium.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Oh, I thought she did say medium.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Oh, okay. My mistake. I misunderstood that. Oh, you said medium.
- CHAIR PALTIN: The project medium...mid \$250,000 and County was her suggestion.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo for that clarification. Mahalo, Chair.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Sure thing. So any objection to those being a new 3.07 and a new 3.08, and renumbering after.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: No objections.
- CHAIR PALTIN: And Director McLean, just clarifying. If we split it up into two, is the \$250,000 enough for both Action Items to be split up the dollar amount as well?

March 4, 2021

- MS. MCLEAN: Just as ballparks, I think you can split it, so 125 each. Thank you, Chair.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Perfect. Thank you, Chair [sic]. All right. All those in favor of these two Amendments as stated, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Amendments pass unanimously. And just backtracking to 3.06. It was just a suggestion, here is the proposed language, "Proposed Amendments to the Historic District Ordinances to allow existing hotels, prohibit new vacation rentals, and phase out existing vacation rentals that are not hotels or inns." And then it should say, "Phasing out could be accomplished by attrition or converting to permits." So it's just a suggestion about how phasing out can be accomplished in the existing Historic District. Any questions or comments on that? Member King.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Yeah. I was...I was looking at your...we're on the 3.06 on your summary Amendment form.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Yes.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: I didn't see the word attrition in here. I saw phasing out could be accomplished by counting vacation rentals towards short-term rental home permit numbers. Is there a new version of this?
- CHAIR PALTIN: That was just what I said because it got cut off on my version. But it's...it's pretty much the same intent.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Okay. So is that the wording that you wanted on there, is that the phasing out could be accomplished by counting vacation rentals towards short-term rental home permit numbers?
- CHAIR PALTIN: Or attrition. Yes.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Or attrition. Okay. Because...so we're adding in, or attrition.
- MS. LILLIS: Committee, it does appear as though Councilmember Paltin is now disconnected. Or is she coming back. Sorry. I apologize.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: I see her.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: We could see her. Looks like she's back on her computer, so maybe she got it recharged.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Sorry. I didn't warn you guys. I...the internet is working better now. I don't know what happened. But this...this device is much easier for me to navigate. Sorry. Okay. So did we vote on 3.06? No. Any further comments,

March 4, 2021

- questions, suggestions on 3.06 as amended, or as read? We're good. Member Rawlins-Fernandez.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. So I'm just going to read it for clarification. So the proposed language would be, "Phasing out the (audio interference) attrition or through permits."
- CHAIR PALTIN: Or...I kind of prefer the language that Member King read. Member King, can you read that again.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Okay. Because that's...that's what is on.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: What I have on mine is phasing out could be accomplished by counting vacation rentals towards short-term rental home permit numbers or attrition.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Yes. That would be the...what we're voting on.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Okay. Chair, what you sent to us...counting vacation rentals toward short-term rental home permits. So it'll say, counting vacation rentals toward short-term rental...I don't know. Member King, can you read that part.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Short-term rental...yeah. Short-term rental home permits, or attrition.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Or attrition. Okay. Mahalo.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. All those in favor, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: Any opposed? All right. Amendment passes unanimously. Then after that we'll have Member Sinenci's 3.07, 3.08, and then going on to the existing 3.08, which would be 3.09 in the future. It's just restoring the CPAC language. I don't know, somehow along the line at the Planning Commission, it turned into a goal to do something in Central Maui, which was kind of weird. So the original language restored for 3.08 would say, "Create an off-road motor vehicle park in an area that will not damage critical watersheds and native forests, and encourage landowners to decommission existing off-road motor vehicle trails in areas that damage watersheds." So that was the original CPAC language. Any question, comment, discussion, suggestion?

VICE-CHAIR KING: Chair?

CHAIR PALTIN: Member King.

VICE-CHAIR KING: So we're just going to take out the first part of it. We're not putting that anywhere else. We're just removing all the reference to Central Maui. Okay. Got that.

March 4, 2021

CHAIR PALTIN: Correct. Yes. All right. So if there is no further comment, all those in favor, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: All right. Amendment passes unanimously for 3.08. Okay. Any further questions on page 122? Member Lee.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Yeah. I have an update.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: The likelihood of a tsunami is very low. We will have more information in an hour once wave activity is measured in Samoa.

CHAIR PALTIN: Great news. Great news. Okay. Blazing on again...

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: But wait, wait, wait. It also ... it also says, tell Member Paltin that we may have to shorten her meeting, just in case.

CHAIR PALTIN: No. No. We're taking extra time because of this tsunami. All right. Moving on to page 124. I'm on Councilmember Rawlins-Fernandez's Amendment Summary Form for Action Item 3.09. And she would like to remove the last part of the sentence, "Unless low impact development strategies are implemented to prevent storm water runoff" to mirror the Amendment made to 2.3.2 on page 40. All right. I think I had that originally. Any discussion from the Members? Any comment by the Planning Department? No comment. All right. All those in favor of the Amendment as proposed, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: And the Amendment passes unanimously. And the next one is from my Amendment Summary Form. I would like to move the priority from low to medium. I know the historic district in Lāhainā is important to a lot of folks, and I'd appreciate your support. All those in favor, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: Any opposed? 3.16 low to medium passes unanimously. Okay. Moving on to Councilmember Rawlins-Fernandez's Amendment Summary Form for Action Item 3.18, she would like to add so it reads, "Work with resorts in Kā'anapali to provide shared parking and shuttle services during canoe races, especially State races at Hanakaō'ō Beach, Canoe Beach and/or develop offsite parking and shuttle service." Any comments, questions, discussion, suggestion? Member Rawlins-Fernandez.

March 4, 2021

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. Just nonsubstantive. Kahokō over the "a" hālau...the first "a". Also for Kā'anapali...I didn't realize there was a kahokō over the first "a" and that's the correct spelling. So if that diacritical could be made consistent throughout the plan as well. Mahalo, Chair.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. We're not on the halau one yet. We're on 3.18. But, yeah.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Kala mai.

CHAIR PALTIN: Kā'anapali consistency and adding and/or develop offsite parking and shuttle services. All those in favor, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: And the Amendment passes unanimously for 3.18. Member Rawlins-Fernandez, I'm sorry, I didn't say this before the vote. Did you want to remove that Canoe Beach in parentheses?

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. That would be my preference if it doesn't offend your...

CHAIR PALTIN: I think it would be okay.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Okay. Mahalo, Chair.

CHAIR PALTIN: Members, are you guys okay with that friendly Amendment or do we need to vote? Take a vote. All those in favor?

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. So we'll just remove that Canoe Beach reference. Anything further on page 124. Member Molina.

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Yeah. Thank you. You know, my understanding, there was a former action 3.20 for the funding of a canoe hale at Hanakaō'ō Beach. Can I ask the Department why this was removed? And if so, why wouldn't we support it, you know, with a hale in that area because it will properly store the canoes, and it's such a cultural and historic and significant part of West Maui. Can I get a response?

CHAIR PALTIN: Sure thing. That's page 126. But Director McLean, go ahead.

MS. MCLEAN: I'd like to see if Jen might be able to answer that. I don't recall why it was removed.

CHAIR PALTIN: Sure thing. Ms. Maydan.

March 4, 2021

- MS. MAYDAN: Thank you, Chair. I can respond. Yes. That was a recommendation of the Department of Parks and Recreation. Their recommendation was that they don't build these centers for just specific purposes, that they would build a community center, and that it would be open for multiple purposes throughout the community. So it wasn't not supporting hālau uses, but it was just saying a community center would be open for multiple community use.
- COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Yeah. This is more of the canoe hales...the storage place for canoes. That was my concern, you know, why that action was removed.
- CHAIR PALTIN: 3.2 not...or the former 3.2, not the existing 3.21 I believe.
- COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Yeah. Not...yeah it's the former 3.2 that was removed having to do with funding for a canoe hale off Hanakaō'ō Beach Park.
- MS. MAYDAN: All right. Thank you for that clarification.
- COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Yeah. Sorry.
- MS. MAYDAN: That also was a recommendation of the Parks Department, and I am not remembering their specific reasoning. Let me see if I can pull it up. But off the top of my head, I do not remember exactly why they wanted that deleted, but that was from the Parks Department.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Member Kama.
- COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: I just have a question in relation to Mr. Molina. So who has built all the other canoe hales, you know, like they have one in Kanahā. I think...I forget the name of the hālau that has their canoe storage thing.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Hawaiian. Hawaiian Canoe.
- COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: Hawaiian had one across from Burger King. So who builds those?
- CHAIR PALTIN: And then Lae'ula. Lae'ula.
- COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: Yeah. Lae'ula. All right. Okay. Got it. Right. So who builds those?
- CHAIR PALTIN: So just to disclose, Hanakaōʻō Beach Park has three canoe hales like...kind of like clubhouse for Nāpili, Kahana and Lāhainā Canoe Club. And we do have one canoe storage, and it's in between of Lāhainā's hale and Kahana's hale. And so to my best recollection, none of those are permitted. And they just get built, and it's, I think, part of a very, very old agreement, you know, when the other canoe clubs were moved from Nāpili and Kahana, and there was an agreement, I believe...like not written down with the hotels that that area was for the canoe clubs. And I guess they just, you

March 4, 2021

know, took it literally that that's their area to do with as they please. There hasn't been much Government oversight of it, and it could be possibly considered as legacy structures. And maybe the original language was taken out because there was some policy about, you know, it would be constructing stuff within the SLR-XA and whatnot. I hope that answers your question. It's kind of...I think something is, you know, that whole don't ask, don't tell feel.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Chair? Ms. Maydan is looking like she wants to respond.

CHAIR PALTIN: Sure. Ms. Maydan, take it away.

MS. MAYDAN: Mahalo, Chair. I pulled up the letter from the Parks Department where they recommended deleting this action. And that their reasoning was they said the proposal to use one canoe hale structure for storage of canoes could be problematic. Typically canoe clubs prefer to have their own hale and obtain grant or private funding to construct these facilities. The other challenge is that sea level rise may preclude this idea. And so they made this recommendation to the Maui Planning Commission, and the Maui Planning Commission deleted the action based upon the Department's recommendation.

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Thank you for that response.

CHAIR PALTIN: And we do have one storage hale, and it is shared by the three canoe clubs. And during the off-season, we'll store like kind of our best canoes and some of our not used canoes, like our koa canoes are stored in the hale. It's kind of weird having this spotlight on that area because it's usually been like...I don't see anything. I don't know. So...

COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: So the intent was we'd like to put a hālau, but not using County funds. And if the...if the paddlers wanted to put their own hālau for their own canoes, we're okay with that. Right? We're okay with that.

CHAIR PALTIN: The halau one was 3.21, and the canoe paddlers already did put their own hales without permission from anyone.

COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: Okay.

CHAIR PALTIN: And it seems like the community is okay with that.

COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: Okay.

CHAIR PALTIN: I'm not sure about the legalities and...and whatnot of...

COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: So then my...then my question would be, how do we make it okay? It's already there, it's legacy, so...as opposed to having them all out there not knowing anything, it would be better for just us to help them to make it all right so that they can paddle in peace and meet in peace.

March 4, 2021

CHAIR PALTIN: Director McLean or Ms. Maydan, any suggestion?

MS. MCLEAN: It's a hot potato. What do we do in situations like this? We ask if Corp. Counsel might have suggestions.

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Pass the potato.

CHAIR PALTIN: Mr. Hopper.

MR. HOPPER: I'd want to know the specifics of what's there now and its history. I mean, if you're talking about...oh, I'm sorry. Member Molina I think you're muted. I'm not sure if they can hear you.

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Yeah. Okay. I just was making an inquiry why the 3.2 was removed by the Planning Department related to a funded canoe hale at Hanakaō'ō Beach Park. And so now we're hearing some of the reasons and the history, so to speak. So I'm guessing we're looking at ways how to make it right in the eyes of the law, and maybe...and we're seeking your thoughts on it. Because apparently it's been built without any permission from the County, I guess, am I to assume that, right? I know Member Paltin knows a lot of, you know, the local history with regards to some of these hales that...or this hale that was constructed. So that's what we're trying to...how do we remedy this so that it could prevent any issues that could possibly come up with the legalities of this hale in this area that did not get prior approval, as it's been alleged. But, you know, we can always revisit this. I mean, Madam Chair and Mr. Hopper, I mean, no rush. You know, I don't want to impede your progress with addressing the plan here, but just maybe in writing or something from Mr. Hopper or maybe the question posed under your signature, Madam Chair, to Corporation Counsel. So we can move on with this item. Sorry for this...kind of causing a delay here.

CHAIR PALTIN: No problem. No problem. Yeah, maybe we can do a Bill for canoe hale in the future.

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Yeah.

COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: Our Committee could do that.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. All right. Let's move along. Sometimes these questions are okay. Okay. So Member Sugimura did have an Amendment to the existing Action 3.2, on page 126. And her proposed Amendment should read as follows...if I could add a friendly Amendment or...

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Yeah. You have...you have an Amendment also, so...

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah. I think I can combine them fairly easy. It would say, "Develop Parks Management Policies"...or can we just say, "Develop policies and practices to better

March 4, 2021

manage the use of parks with visitation levels that threaten their environmental or cultural integrity, create safety issues, or generate impacts that affect their intended function." And then...so that's my proposed Amendment. Member Sugimura's proposed Amendment is, "Develop Parks Management Policies and Practices to ensure that usage does not threaten the park's environmental or cultural integrity, create safety issues, and aligns with their intended function." Either one is okay, I think. I mean, Members, do you have a preference? Member Sinenci.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: I don't particularly have a preference, but I wanted to bring up the...if...so when we're talking or possibly considering funding, State funding, and I...and I only wanted to bring up that because I'm thinking about the Harbor area in Lāhainā. And I was wondering if during the CPAC process, residents brought up the...or the boats come on, and the ferry, the Lāhainā ferry, at times when the cruise ship is there, there may be 300 people over there, and people trying to...to move within this area. And so a lot of that spill area goes out into some of these historic districts right there on Front Street. So...and...and I know it comes under the State under Harbors, but it just seems like a lot of the cultural areas right downtown by the Harbor gets affected by 300 or 400 people that are coming and going onto those taxies to the cruise ships. So just as a friendly Amendment, I would think maybe State funding when looking at kind of developing the Harbor, the Waterfront area.

CHAIR PALTIN: So the Harbor area is not under the jurisdiction of the Parks Department, and...

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: But affected by the people that are coming off of there.

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah. Ms. Maydan, did you have a comment for that?

MS. MAYDAN: Thank you, Chair. Just that that was not a big discussion with the community or the CPAC. Definitely the importance of the historic districts was a discussion, and led to the Action 3.01. But I do not recall much discussion as far as the impact of the Harbor and the historic district of the inland uses.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Thank you. Thank you, Chair. I'm open to both versions.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Member Lee, followed by Member King.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: I tend to prefer Member Sugimura's version. I'm not sure about the wording that you included, something about visitations? Who would enforce that?

CHAIR PALTIN: I didn't include the visitation. I only put better in mine. That was from the CPAC through the MPC, but I'm...I'm okay with Member Sugimura's language. So then that would make the visitation point moot.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Yeah.

CHAIR PALTIN: Member King.

March 4, 2021

VICE-CHAIR KING: Sorry. I'm trying to chase my cursor around. Yeah. I'm okay with either version, but I thought...I thought Member Sugimura's version was a little bit unclear because it ends...it talks about it does not threaten and then it ends with and align. So I would...if we're going to use that one, I would just change it to develop Parks Management Policies and Practices that aligns with their intended function and does not threaten the parks...it does not threaten the parks environmental cultural integrity or create safety issues.

CHAIR PALTIN: How about aligns with the Parks Department vision?

VICE-CHAIR KING: Yeah. That would be good. It's just...it's kind of awkward having that at the end after the things that we don't want.

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Okay.

CHAIR PALTIN: Member Sugimura, are you okay with instead of their intended function, aligns with the Parks Department's vision as a friendly Amendment?

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Yes. If it's more...if it's clearer to all of you.

VICE-CHAIR KING: That clarifies it.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Any further discussion on that? We're going with Member Sugimura's wording, and a friendly Amendment to aligns with the Parks Department vision at the end. Member Rawlins-Fernandez.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. Yeah. I was just about to ask if you could read the proposed Amendment in its entirety. And I think Member King asked if the aligning with the Parks Department's portion of that statement be moved to the front before we say what we don't want. I...I agree with that wordsmithing.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. So here's the proposed Amendment as amended friendlier...friendily [sic]...friendlier. Develop Parks Management Policies and Practices to ensure that usage aligns with the Parks Department's vision, and does not threaten the parks environmental or cultural integrity, or create safety issues. All those in favor, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: The Amendment as amended passes unanimously. Okay. And then I believe we deleted 3.21, but then it was on 5.18. So Member Rawlins-Fernandez, is it okay to take this up at 5.18? Your...

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: I thought that was your change, right?

March 4, 2021

- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. Yeah. So we...we took this up earlier and we deleted it, but my understanding is that it wasn't initially a duplication, that the proposed Amendments on my Amendment Summary Form make it so that it's not a duplication, and that it...as it would be amended could be inserted in this section.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. So instead of what it currently says, you would like it to say, "Obtain funding, design, and build a community center that allows hula halau practices by various halau." With kahakōs over the "a"...or "ā".

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Pololei.

CHAIR PALTIN: Member King.

- VICE-CHAIR KING: So is the intent to build two separate community centers, or...because we could just add that...that section that allows for the halau practices to the other one that says to build a new community center. You know, we push...we moved that...we eliminated that because it's somewhere else, and says obtain funding and design and build a new community center to augment the facility within the Lahaina Civic Center. We could add on that allows hula halau practices by various halau.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Member Rawlins-Fernandez, any response to Member King's suggestion?
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. So that would be...where is that? What Action Item is that?
- CHAIR PALTIN: So the Action Item was 3.21, but I think Member King is saying since we moved 3.21 to 5.18 and deleted that one, are you suggesting that two separate community centers be built, or that the new community that's augmenting the Lāhainā Civic Center would be the one that allows hula hālau practices by various hālau.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Obtain and...obtain funding and design and build a canoe hale structure for storage of canoes at...
- CHAIR PALTIN: Oh, that's not the one.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: No. Sorry, 3.21. So the...Member King is asking for the expansion of the Action Item 5.18 on page 132?
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Yeah. I was just asking if you were...you were suggesting we build two new community centers, or if you just wanted the community center that was already described to allow the hula halau. It doesn't really matter to me what section it's in, but it looks like right now, we would have two separate community centers.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Okay. I guess...would Director McLean be able to speak to, I think, funding and design.

March 4, 2021

- CHAIR PALTIN: I think she already...
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: The definition of augment, oh, she left. Okay. Chair, do you know what obtain funding and design and build a new community to augment the facility...
- CHAIR PALTIN: No. Director McLean is still on. Director McLean, did you want to comment on augment.
- MS. MCLEAN: What is the question?
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: In the context...okay. So the 3.21, obtain funding and design and build a new community center to augment the facility with the Lāhainā Civic Center. In that context, what does augment the facility mean?
- MS. MCLEAN: To add to it.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Okay. So then the...it would be located on the same TMK...like it would just be added to in that location.
- MS. MCLEAN: I would say yes. Because it says augment the facility within the Lāhainā Civic Center, so it's making it part of that complex.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Okay. So I guess my understanding is that the community had asked for a community center separate from the existing Lāhainā Civic Center?
- CHAIR PALTIN: Ms. Maydan, did you have a response to that?
- MS. MAYDAN: Mahalo, Chair. When the CPAC brought this action up and specifically noted hālau, they were looking for additional facilities to be able to practice hula. And it was...as I noted, it was the Parks Department's recommendation to amend the action to take out the specificity to hālau because they were saying they need to allow for many services at a community center, and their recommendation was to add to the community center, to the facilities that are already at the Lāhainā Civic Center.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Ms. Maydan. Okay. So I guess if the West Maui community experiences similar things as the Moloka'i community, there are lots of competing...there's groups competing for time, space, scheduling, and the West Maui community is a lot larger than the Moloka'i community. So I'm guessing that just having additional space that would, you know, promote cultural activities, such as hālau, it is what they may be looking for. And I think...I understand the...Director Peters' concern about not, you know, building County facilities specifically and exclusively for one kind of activity, but I would assume that it would be available for other activities. Is that not a correct assumption?

March 4, 2021

CHAIR PALTIN: Director McLean or Ms. Maydan.

MS. MCLEAN: I think there's a way to work with the language so that it allows for a distinct facility or structure, but it can be part of the complex. Just...it's less expensive to build where there's already existing development. So even if the County were to own land, if it's vacant, that's just more expensive to bring utilities in and so forth. So maybe adding on to the Lāhainā Civic Complex, or building another structure there, I think that would go some way toward what Director Peters might have been concerned about. It seems to me...and, you know, the Committee has their own contact with the community and understanding of what the community wants. I wonder if just providing the facility, whether or not it's an expansion of the Civic Complex, to provide hālaus and other groups with ready access to...to facilities. I think that's what the problem is, it's just too difficult to get access to places. So emphasizing that the objective is for these groups to be able to practice there whenever they need to, that could be accomplished several ways, and leaving that up to the Parks Department to propose how to get there.

CHAIR PALTIN: Member King.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Yeah. That's what I was going to suggest, that we make a statement about, you know, providing space, whether it's in that, you know, community facility, expand the community facility, or find another space. I mean, that seems to be what's lacking in many areas across the County is access to space to have these...you know, to have practices and have ongoing classes. So if there could be some kind of...I'd like to see that, the same thing, in the South Maui Community Plan too, to provide access to space to...you know, for hula hālau. Then we're not...and then it's focusing more on, you know, helping the hula hālau find space instead of building an actual community center, and then having all those restrictions on what we could call...what we could say it's used for. Is that...is that...Director McLean, is that...that's...would that be acceptable? Because this whole section, you know, refers to...you know, the title of this section is about responsible stewardship of resources, culture, and character. And so to me that's a major part of the culture is that...you know, the hula hālau practices. You know, something that space...yeah. We need to find them spaces, I think, is the bottom line.

MS. MCLEAN: I'm thinking it's like obtain funding, design, and build additional community facilities, such as expanding the Lāhainā Civic Center Complex to allow hula hālau practices by various hālau and other activities that currently do not have access. Something like that. Is that what...in line with what the Committee is thinking?

VICE-CHAIR KING: I think that works for me.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Member Rawlins-Fernandez.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. I like the proposal from Director McLean. So obtain funding, design, and build additional County facilities that promotes cultural activities like hula halau practices...like hula. And then

March 4, 2021

without mentioning the Lāhainā Civic Center, so that it's not necessarily pigeonholed there. So it could be elsewhere, but it can also be there.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. All right. All those in favor of that Amendment as read by Member Rawlins-Fernandez, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: Amendment passes unanimously. And that...

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Chair?

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Madam Chair?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes. Chair Lee.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: I'm sorry I have to drop off the call, but good luck. I know you'll finish in lickety-split time.

CHAIR PALTIN: All right. Cool. So that comes to the conclusion of Section 2.3. So we have 2.4 and 2.5 left, and we do have a recess date. Member Rawlins-Fernandez.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. I did have...sorry, an additional item under the 2.3 section to add a new Action Item 3.23. But I do see that it's 12:00'. So that if we're going to recess, then I can...when we reconvene, I can propose that if you'd like to recess now.

CHAIR PALTIN: You have a new Action Item for this Section 2.3.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Yes.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Chair?

CHAIR PALTIN: Was it on your Amendment Summary Form?

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: No.

VICE-CHAIR KING: I'm willing to stay for...to finish up this section if you want to address...

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah. Let's just finish up this section. And so we'll hear Councilmember Rawlins-Fernandez's proposed Amendment. This is not on any Amendment Summary Form. Go ahead, Member Rawlins-Fernandez.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. So to add a new Action Item 3.23, that would read, "Obtain funding and design and build a canoe hale structure for storage of canoes at Hanakaō'ō Beach or at the proposed Hanakaō'ō mauka expansion."

March 4, 2021

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. For me, I would say proposed mauka expansion because there's not going to be that much space left at Hanakaō'ō Beach because there just isn't...the sea level is rising, the shoreline is eroding, and the canoes that are used for active practice take up the space that's left.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: I'll take that as a friendly Amendment.

CHAIR PALTIN: Huh?

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: I'll take that as a friendly Amendment.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. So mauka expansion. Okay. Can you read it one more time?

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Obtain funding and design and build a canoe hale structure for storage of canoes at the proposed Hanakaōʻō mauka expansion. And for the Members, this is the item that Member Molina was talking about earlier that was deleted from the CPAC draft. Mahalo.

CHAIR PALTIN: Member King, followed by Member Sugimura.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Okay. So would this be...would the construction be outside of the sea level rise area then, below the erosion hazard line?

CHAIR PALTIN: I believe so because the mauka expansion is mauka of the highway.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Oh, it's mauka of the highway. Okay. I wasn't sure what mauka of what we were talking about. But, yeah. That makes more sense because I just, you know, if we're looking at a moratorium on building County facilities within a sea level rise area, then I think this would be a contradiction. But yeah, I support it if it's mauka of the highway. And then the other thing I wanted to say, Chair, is you had another thing on page 126 that you had proposed 3.23 on your Amendment Summary Form.

CHAIR PALTIN: 3.23. Oh, yes. Thank you.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Yours would now be 3.24 --

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes.

VICE-CHAIR KING: -- after we pass this. Okay.

CHAIR PALTIN: Thank you for pointing that out. Member Sugimura. We can't hear you.

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Yeah. Thank you. So if you're talking about mauka, then are you talking about the Kā'anapali 2020 land that's zoned...I mean, that is land designation as open parks, open space? Is that the area you're talking about?

March 4, 2021

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes.

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Because when we get to that place, I'm going to have a...I need to have a discussion and to talk about that area because I think it's now zoned residential with Kā'anapali 2020. So I have a question about that. So I'm hesitant to make this Amendment to do something that I think we actually have a possible taking problem with that park open space.

CHAIR PALTIN: So my understanding was it's currently zoned agriculture, and I believe --

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Oh, is it agriculture.

- CHAIR PALTIN: -- Kā'anapali Land Management Corp. did commit to like ten acres at least, and I don't think they wanted the hundred acres, but they were committed to 10 or 15 acres of park space, which should be sufficient for a canoe hale.
- COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: I don't know, and I would...I think it's something...I don't know if you have anything in writing, but I think it's a greater discussion, greater than this one Amendment...or if you could put this aside and take it up once we clarify this because I believe that's the same piece of land that we're talking about, that there was a question that came up.
- CHAIR PALTIN: I'm okay with putting it aside. I think that Kā'anapali Land Management Corp.'s objection was to 100 acres being designated. I recall in my conversation with them that the area that you could see from the park was already committed to park open space, which was about 10 or 14 acres. But I'm okay with putting that aside, if that's the will of the body. Any discussion, Members? Member King.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: We could put it aside, or we could add a phrase, you know, negotiate for land rights. Then that way, if we don't get the land rights, then we can't do it. But if we put that in there as part of the Action Item then, you know, if they can't find that land, maybe they find another piece of land. That's another option.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Member Sugimura, followed by Member Kama.
- COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Yeah. So I...I mean, that's okay, what Member King is saying, but I would rather just take this up once we can get that clarified when you come to that chapter, then we can always come back to it. That's my preference.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. This could be one of the ones that we take up in the second round. We're only having one second round of things that we either decide we want to take up again or if there is substantially new information. So Members...Member Kama, followed by Member Rawlins-Fernandez.
- COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: I'm agreeable to that. So thank you, Chair.

March 4, 2021

- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Member Rawlins-Fernandez.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. I was just going to say no objection. If that's the preference of the body.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. So this is one of the things that we'll agree to take up on second round. Okay. And then, sorry, my...or Member Sinenci.
- COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Oh. I just had another proposed Amendment, but you can go ahead and finish your...
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Thank you. So since we're going to take up that one after the maps, I'd like to propose my addition for 3.23, and if that other one passes, it can be 3.24. So my proposal for 3.23 is, inform current pool owners in the Special Management Area of Policy 2.3.6 and its prohibition within the West Maui Community Plan Area. And the type would be project; priority, high; timing, mid; County lead agency would be Planning; estimated cost to be determined; and funding source would be the County. And just to refresh folk's memories, 2.3.6 says, "Require new development and encourage existing developments with pools within the Special Management Area to use best management practices for cleaning and maintaining the pool, specifically prohibiting draining of pool water directly to the ocean and encouraging utilizing pool water for irrigation onsite." I see Ms. Maydan, and Director McLean.
- MS. MCLEAN: Thanks, Chair. If this is high priority, then I would ask for \$150,000 of funding because it's doable. I think the way to do it would be to use aerial imagery, and then find the owner of every property that has a pool because we don't otherwise sort our data by pool. But if it's a high priority, that's not something we'd be able to do in-house quickly. So we would just hire somebody to do that task. It's not difficult, but if it's a high priority, then I would ask for funding.
- CHAIR PALTIN: At what priority level would you be able to do it in-house?
- MS. MCLEAN: I should have anticipated that question. Medium or low. So yeah, up to the Council. I mean, if we could get an intern or something like that, it could happen faster, but...so that's the challenge with it. It's not difficult, it would just be time consuming.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Thank you, Director. Member Rawlins-Fernandez.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. Yeah. I don't think it would be difficult. We have Google Earth, and you can easily see who has pools with, you know, Google Earth.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. I'm amenable to medium. I know these are tight times for the County, so definitely what we can do.
- COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Mr. Hopper.

March 4, 2021

- CHAIR PALTIN: Member King, did you have a question? I can't hear you. Member King, are you communicating with us?
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Oh, sorry. I had clicked on it, but it didn't get clicked. Sorry. So yeah, I just wanted to ask Director McLean if this is going to be a Countywide policy or is this something that you might as well do for the entire coastline, you know, while you're doing it. Or is it going to be more expensive to piecemeal it and do one community at a time, or does it matter?
- MS. MCLEAN: Well, it would only be a policy for West Maui. So we wouldn't necessarily do other areas if there wasn't a reason to do so.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Okay. I just wasn't...wasn't sure if the intention was to create a Countywide policy. But probably a good idea to try it out anyway in one community before you start going islandwide and, you know, figure out more efficiencies. Thank you, Chair. It looked like Mr. Hopper wanted to say something.

CHAIR PALTIN: Mr. Hopper.

MR. HOPPER: So there's an elicit discharge ordinance that the Public Works Department has been working on. I don't know if this would be part of that, but you may want to consider if that would deal with some of these issues.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Director McLean, any comment on Mr. Hopper's statement?

MS. MCLEAN: And I think this could be tasked to Public Works. Just kidding. Councilmember Rawlins-Fernandez suggested just a Countywide mailer. That would be a lot easier, and wouldn't be super expensive. I mean, we could even see about putting something in the Real Property Tax mailouts. So if it wasn't targeted like that, then it could be a lot easier.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. So it would be amended to say...

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Chair?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes. Member Rawlins-Fernandez.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. Because this is such a high priority for your district, may I recommend that we not put this in the community plan, and instead we can put it in the Budget this session, and we can allocate money for a mailer and other ways of...that you had envisioned for current pool owners to be informed.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. So we don't want this addition.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Perhaps instead of informing pool owners...

March 4, 2021

CHAIR PALTIN: Inform residents or property owners.

- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: It could be...well. So my concern is once the pool owners are informed, the box is checked. So this could be something a little more ongoing to ensure that...that pool owners, all pool owners will, you know, be consistently aware of Policy 2.3.6 and its prohibition within the West Maui Community Plan Area. Okay. So I guess that's SMA specifically. But, you know, just something that's a little more ongoing. And then that way when we do, you know, put...when we do allocate funding to send out a mailer, you know, this Budget session, that there will be an ongoing effort to continue to keep them informed in this, you know, because it's a 20-year plan.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah. And maybe we can reach out to like the pool companies like that sell products and clean pools and, you know, be part of like a messaging campaign or something. Member King.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Yeah. I think that's a great idea. And if...if the Public Works is working on a new Ordinance...what did they call it, was it discharge? I'm happy to take that up in CARE Committee, you know. And then we can, you know, show that's happening islandwide, and then we can promote that, that mailer. And we can keep it, you know, we can defer it and keep it as an ongoing issue and make sure it's being monitored.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Member Rawlins-Fernandez, did you have that written out, the language that you had proposed? No.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: I didn't, and I can...if you want to do it now, or if you want to revisit.

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah. Let's revisit this along with yours on the second go around.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Okay.

CHAIR PALTIN: So that brings up to the conclusion...

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Chair?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Real quick. Oh, and then Member Sinenci also had an Amendment. I remember him.

CHAIR PALTIN: Oh, sorry. Yeah. Thank you.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: For Item...Action Number 3.17, on page 124, it references the Clean and Safe Program. So this is a nonsubstantive, I think, proposal.

March 4, 2021

Because this is a, you know, 10, 20-year plan, that if the Clean and Safe Program doesn't exist, and hopefully it does, you know, continues to...but if it doesn't in the next five years, this may not be as clear. So the proposal is for it to obtain funding and implement a program that achieves the goal that you're seeking to achieve, and then saying, such as the...Wailuku's Clean and Safe Program. And then that way there's a little more information for future, you know, decision makers to understand what this is about.

- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. There is currently a Lāhainā Clean and Safe Program going on that's run through Lāhainā Restoration Foundation. And yes, I think last year was the pilot, and there is plans to continue it. So would it be okay in that case?
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: So then it would probably need to be updated because it's already been implemented or...

CHAIR PALTIN: So maintain --

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Continue. Yeah.

CHAIR PALTIN: -- funding and implementation of a clean and safe...of Lāhainā's Clean and Safe Program as part of the proposed parking action plan to include revenue generation.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Okay.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. All those in favor of that Amendment to reflect what's currently going on, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

- CHAIR PALTIN: So that's unanimous with one excused, Member Lee. Okay. Thank you, Member Rawlins-Fernandez. Member Sinenci, you had one for this section?
- COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Thank you, Chair. Yeah. I just had a proposed Amendment for 3.22, but if...I want to mindful of the Members' time, so if this...I did want to hear from the Planning Department, and we can always discuss this on our last go around.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Oh, shucks. Member Sinenci, thank you for reminding me. I did have an Amendment to 3.22. I missed it on page 4 of my Amendment Summary Form, it was out of order. Thank you so much for reminding me.
- COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Okay. You can go ahead, Chair.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. So my proposed Amendment for 3.22 is to read as follows, "Obtain funding and implement cultural and educational programs to perpetuate Hawaiian heritage." That was my proposed recommendation. And the reasoning is that Hawaiian cultural and heritage is unique to Hawaii. There is no other place it can

March 4, 2021

authentically be perpetuated. This is not to prevent support from other heritages, but rather emphasize the significance of the Hawaiian culture and its uniqueness to Hawaii.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: I'm supportive of that, Chair. I wanted to begin the discussion, comma, or at to the end, to be housed in a future space for cultural archives, exhibit hall gallery, and museum. I know it's a larger discussion for Lāhainā, but because of its cultural significance, we should be expanding the infrastructure, you know, for...you know, to hold all of the exhibits and all the cultural artifacts that are coming out of West Maui. So I can wait for this to be a larger discussion, but if Members wanted to add their mana'o.

CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Member Rawlins-Fernandez.

- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. And mahalo, Member Sinenci. This is something that we've been working on for Moloka'i too. So would you be willing to create an item under your Committee to discuss this, and discuss funding something like this for other locations as well?
- COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Yes, I'd be happy to because there are already funding mechanisms. I know that Moku'ula and Mokuhinia already has a Budget, and then we have the ongoing Hawaiian Cultural Restoration Revolving Fund that is going to be brought up in tomorrow's meeting. So...and then we still haven't looked at whether it would be State, Federal funding to help with this, but we just wanted to look at that whole area. I don't know, Member Rawlins-Fernandez, if West Maui requires creating, like, redevelopment agency just for the historic area just, you know, as part of saving and perpetuating a lot of the cultural inventory of West Maui. So those are some of the things, and I can bring that...we can have a larger discussion in my Committee.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Any objection to voting on the Amendment as I worded it, and then we can come back with Member Rawlins-Fernandez's canoe hale, the swimming pool one, and the house, warehouse thing on the next go around. So all those in favor of the Amendment as I had read it, and then we'll come back for Member Sinenci's Amendment, raise your hand and say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED AYE.

CHAIR PALTIN: And we're unanimous, with Member Lee excused. So this brings us to the end of Section 2.3 for right now. We have three things to come back to on our second review. And so we do have a recess date for after the March 18th Budgetary Review of the Planning Department, and we only have 2.4 and 2.5 to go through. 2.4 only has like five items, five Action Items, and 2.5 has 15 or so. So we should be able to finish 1, 2, and 4 before Budget. And I will not keep you any longer. We'll recess this meeting until March 18th, and I think the plan was to set the time at 10:00 in case we finish the Budgetary Review of Planning early, then we can take up the West Maui Community Plan earlier. But if we're late, it's okay as well. Member King.

March 4, 2021

VICE-CHAIR KING: Just a quick note...just a quick note to everybody that the tsunami watch is canceled, so we're all safe.

CHAIR PALTIN: All sorts of great news. Okay, so any objection to recessing this meeting to March 18th, say, 10:30?

COUNCILMEMBERS VOICED NO OBJECTIONS.

CHAIR PALTIN: All right. And so then this meeting is in recess, and we continue to take written testimony. Thank you very much for all you guys' hard work. Member Sugimura said bye. Okay. And we're in recess at 12:31. . . . (gavel). .

RECESSED: 12:31 p.m.

APPROVED:

TAMARA PALTIN, Chair

Jamana a M. Paltin

Planning and Sustainable Land Use Committee

pslu:min:210304:ta

Transcribed by: Terianne Arreola

March 4, 2021

CERTIFICATION

I, Terianne Arreola, hereby certify that pages 1 through 64 of the foregoing represents, to the best of my ability, a true and correct transcript of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not in any way concerned with the cause.

DATED the 8th day of April 2021, in Makawao, Hawai'i

Terianne Arreola