PLANNING AND SUSTAINABLE LAND USE COMMITTEE

Council of the County of Maui

MINUTES

June 3, 2021

Online Only Via BlueJeans

- **CONVENE:** 11:38 a.m.
- **PRESENT:** Councilmember Tamara Paltin, Chair Councilmember Kelly Takaya King, Vice-Chair Councilmember Gabe Johnson, Member Councilmember Tasha Kama, Member Councilmember Alice L. Lee, Member Councilmember Michael J. Molina, Member Councilmember Keani N.W. Rawlins-Fernandez, Member Councilmember Shane M. Sinenci, Member Councilmember Yuki Lei K. Sugimura, Member
- **STAFF:** Alison Stewart, Legislative Analyst Shelly Espeleta, Supervising Legislative Analyst Richard Mitchell, Legislative Attorney Clarita Balala, Committee Secretary Lenora Dineen, Council Services Assistant Clerk

Roxanne Morita, Executive Assistant to Councilmember Gabe Johnson
Evan Dust, Executive Assistant to Councilmember Tasha Kama
Davideane Kama-Sickels, Executive Assistant to Councilmember Tasha Kama
Ellen McKinley, Executive Assistant to Councilmember Kelly Takaya King
Sarah Pajimola, Executive Assistant to Councilmember Keani N.W.
Rawlins-Fernandez

- ADMIN.: Richelle Thomson, First Deputy Corporation Counsel, Department of the Corporation Counsel
 Michele McLean, Director, Department of Planning
 Pamela Eaton, Planning Program Administrator, Department of Planning
 Johann Lall, Geographic Information System Analyst, Department of Planning
- **OTHERS:** Jon Kindred William Spence Dick Mayer Faith Chase

PRESS: Akakū: Maui Community Television, Inc.

PSLU-1 WEST MAUI COMMUNITY PLAN (CC 21-70)

- CHAIR PALTIN: ...(gavel)... Will the Planning and Sustainable Land Use second committee meeting of June 3, 2021 come to order. The time is 11:39, and we're just a couple hours behind schedule. My apologies to the public. You know, doing the peoples' work and all. If everyone can please silence their cell phones and any noise-making devices. My name is Tamara Paltin, and I'll be the Chair of your Planning and Sustainable Land Use Committee meeting. With us today, we have Member Gabe Johnson, talofa falava [*sic*], from Lāna'i.
- COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Good morning...yeah, good morning Chair. I totally spaced on the talofa halala [*sic*]. I'm killing it. I wrote it down.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Talofa lava, my friend. Talofa lava.
- COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Talofa lalalavav [sic]. Thank you.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Sure thing. And we also have Committee Vice-Chair Kelly King I saw just join the call.

VICE-CHAIR KING: I'm here.

CHAIR PALTIN: Talofa lava.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Yep. Talofa lava.

CHAIR PALTIN: Member Kama, talofa lava to you.

COUNCILMEMBER KAMA: Talofa lava back at you, Chair.

CHAIR PALTIN: Thank you so much. Chair Lee, talofa lava this mid-morning.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Talofa lava in the neighborhood, Member Kama.

CHAIR PALTIN: Member Mike Molina, I'm trying not to forget you this time. Talofa lava.

COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: No worries, Madam Chair. Aloha and talofa lava to you. Aloha.

CHAIR PALTIN: Aloha. Okay, Member Rawlins-Fernandez, talofa lava.

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Aloha awakea, Chair, mai Moloka'i nui a Hina, and talofa lava kākou.

CHAIR PALTIN: Talofa lava. And we got Member Sinenci, talofa lava.

COUNCILMEMBER SINENCI: Talofa lava, Chair, and awakea no kākou.

CHAIR PALTIN: Aloha. And last, but not least, Member Sugimura. Talofa lava.

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Talofa lava. Aloha, everybody. Good morning.

CHAIR PALTIN: Aloha. Okay. And with us from Corporation Counsel, I believe we have Richelle Thomson sitting in for Deputy Corp. Counsel Michael Hopper. From the Administration, Department of Planning, we have Michele McLean, the Director, and Planning Program Administrator Pam Eaton. For Committee Staff today, we have Committee Secretary; Lei Dineen, Assistant Clerk; Legislative Attorney Richard Mitchell; and Legislative Analyst Alison Stewart. Today's agenda, we have one item, PSLU-1, West Maui Community Plan. Members, today my intent is to focus on our review of Section 3, including maps and designations we went over during the last meeting. But you know, first we need to take public testimony. And I just wanted to just go over, I know I've been getting a lot of texts and phone calls about how we're going to begin the review, and I don't expect us to get into any part of it today, because we most likely will not finish oral public testimony. So I just wanted to try and get a head start on it. I thought we would have two hours, but we might have something more like 15 minutes. But the plan, in general, is to start on Section 3, Growth Framework, once we conclude public testimony. And I was going to go straight into maps, because I didn't want to hold anyone back from that any much longer. It seemed like, you know, from day one, everyone wanted to talk about maps, and I put the brakes on that. We talked about the intro, the policies, the implementing actions. So I don't want to hold folks up from discussing maps anymore. The general way that we were...I had planned to go through this, was start at Subarea 4, 3, 2, 1, move our way north, and go one subarea at a time. Then go into the wording of it, because if we change things on the maps, then the wording is going to change. So we wouldn't start with the wording for Section 3. We're going to go straight into Subarea 4, and then follow on into Section 5 and Appendices. We may choose to...to move things from the Appendix to Section 3, and like that, but any questions on that general process instruction for starting, I believe June 14th? No questions? Awesome. Okay. And I just wanted to give a little bit direction to, like, a precursor. The Community Plan designations are recommendations from the Planning Department that have been vetted through the Community Plan Advisory Committee and the Maui Planning Commission, and we are able to make adjustment or recommendations for not to go exactly like it. And you know, for example, I just wanted to foreshadow, you know, some of the things that I...I noticed, just a broad thing. And...and it did come up during our discussion on Urban Reserve Districts. For me, I would like to suggest separating Community Plan designation of Park and Open Space, you know, to recommend that. And then in looking at the maps themselves, I see that, you know, all the streams and gulches are demarcated by that same Park and Open Space green color. And I...I kind of feel like Streams/Gulches are its own designation, Parks and Open Space...there's already enough confusion of what's the difference between it, that we should separate that out. And that would be the reason why we go over the wording after the maps, because we're going to have to denote that, if it is a change that we make. Another thing that I'm...I'm thinking of, is you know, changing the name of Urban Center Corridor to something like Transit Center Corridor, because Maui County has, you know, people coming from all over the world, and everybody's idea of what "urban" means, is probably something different. You know, I don't consider

June 3, 2021

any part of Lāhainā to be urban in the sense like how downtown Honolulu is, and I don't want us to grow to fit some person's definition of what urban is. So those are the types of things that I've been looking at, and just, you know, to give you folks an example of the things that can be looked at, and...and whatnot. So any questions on the process before we get started? My intention is to run until about 12, maybe 12:15. If...if we're in the middle of some really great testimony. If you testify today, that will count as your testimony on this agenda item. So it's one testimony, and then when we come back in Monday, the 14th, we will have already heard your testimony. So it's one testimony, not two. So let me read the spiel again. Information on online connectivity and related procedures can be found on the last page of the agenda. Testifiers wanting to provide video testimony should join the online meeting via the BlueJeans meeting link on today's agenda. Testifiers wanting to provide audio testimony should call 1-408-915-6290, enter meeting code 149341846 as listed on the agenda. Written testimony is also encouraged, and can be sent at any time using eComment@mauicounty.us/agendas. Oral testimony is limited to three minutes. If you are still testifying beyond that time, I will kindly ask you to complete your testimony. When your name is called, please unmute yourself by clicking the microphone icon, or if calling in by phone, press Star 4 to unmute yourself. Please state your name. Please also state if you're testifying on behalf of an organization or are a paid lobbyist. Staff is adding names of those who have joined the meeting to the testifiers list. The link to the list will be posted in the chat. Please be mindful that chat should only be used to indicate whether or not you would like to testify, and should not be used to provide testimony or engage in conversation. Please also be courteous to others by muting your microphone while waiting for your turn to testify. Once you are done testifying, or if you do not wish to testify, please disconnect from the meeting and continue to view the proceedings on Akakū Channel 53, Facebook Live, or on mauicounty.us. If you're on the meeting, you will be called to testify, up until we run out of time. Only Councilmembers, Staff, and designated resources will be connected to the online meeting. Once testimony concludes, all others will be disconnected from BlueJeans. Members, I'd like to proceed with oral testimony.

... BEGIN PUBLIC TESTIMONY ...

- CHAIR PALTIN: And our first testifier would be Jon Kindred, followed by Faith Chase, then Will Spence, and Mr. Dick Mayer. Mr. Jonathan Kindred, we're ready for your testimony at this time.
- MR. KINDRED: Okay. Can you hear me?
- CHAIR PALTIN: We sure can.
- MR. KINDRED: Can you see me?
- CHAIR PALTIN: We cannot see you. There's a little...like a video right next to the microphone icon.
- MR. KINDRED: Yeah.

CHAIR PALTIN: Check if --

MR. KINDRED: Oh, there we go. Okay. Should have it now, right?

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes.

MR. KINDRED: Okay. Thank you, Chair. Aloha. My name is Jon Kindred. I'm offering this testimony in my capacity as President of the Plantation Estates Lot Owners Association. We are a peaceful, residential community surrounding the world famous Plantation Golf Course at Kapalua Resort. Our owners care deeply about Maui County, and are engaged in numerous philanthropic and business activities, supporting a better Maui. Plantation Estates is seeking a rural residential land use designation. I've attended almost every CPAC and MPC meeting during their proceedings to review and update the Plan. Plantation Estates understands that the agriculture designation is designed to preserve and protect agricultural resources, per your discussion in your May 20 We support the policy theme of preserving and protecting agricultural meeting. resources, and note the many thousands of acres of undeveloped, fallow ag lands throughout our island. However, as a policy matter, we respectfully suggest that changing the designation of an already developed residential neighborhood, such as ours, is not inconsistent with preserving and protecting agricultural lands. Our neighborhood was developed in phases, beginning in 1990, before rural zoning was adopted in Maui County. We were originally developed to be a rural residential community, and that is what we are today. The rural residential definition in the Plan fits us precisely. The CPAC did not reach a conclusion on whether our neighborhood should be rural or agriculture. The CPAC voted three separate times on our neighborhood. Two motions to designate us agriculture failed to pass, as well as one to designate us rural residential, which demonstrates a clear spread of opinion on this matter in that forum. We were thankful that...when the Maui Planning Commission voted by an affirmative six to two majority to designate our neighborhood as rural residential in their September 9, 2020 meeting. The Commission did not make any other changes to agricultural lands in the rural growth boundaries, except for our neighborhood. The Commission carefully evaluated the facts that we are within the Kapalua Resort, we are an older and already developed neighborhood, and redesignating us to what we truly are would not create any adverse precedent for any other lands in the rural growth boundaries. Each part of our island community should be, and must be, evaluated on its own merits. We were subsequently astonished on January 21, 2021, when the Planning Department published a map, showing only half of our neighborhood as rural residential. We were further surprised when a representative of the Planning Department misled you about the action actually taken by the MPC. I've previously written to you about this mistake. We hope you will agree with the Planning Commission decision to designate the entirety of our neighborhood as rural residential ... (timer sounds)... for the reasons outlined in the written testimony we submitted for this meeting. We value and support the preservation of agricultural resources, but our neighborhood is not that. We will always allow agricultural activities which our owners may wish to pursue within our neighborhood, and that's perfectly consistent with a rural residential designation. Mahalo for your consideration and your service to our

June 3, 2021

County. Thank you.

- CHAIR PALTIN: Thank you, Mr. Kindred. Members, questions for the testifier? Member Sugimura.
- COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Thank you. So Plantation Estates...thank you very much, Mr. Kindred, for relentlessly appearing and testifying. I think you've been telling us your anguish for several meetings. But so you're saying, let us be clear, that Plantation Estates, which is part of Kapalua Resort and Kapalua Resort Association, that your designation, you want it to be rural residential, right?
- MR. KINDRED: That is correct.
- COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Consistently. Right. And then...and ...and why was this change made? Do you know? I mean, why was...yeah, why are we here today, that you always have to come and testify, or what would you like us to remember?
- MR. KINDRED: Well, the rural residential designation, as I mentioned a moment ago, was adopted by the Maui Planning Commission. However, the map was not drawn in such a way that reflects the entirety of our neighborhood in rural residential, which was very surprising. We've laid out a number of reasons through the Planning Commission as to why it made sense to be rural residential, and they adopted that by a majority. The map being drawn in error, I think, is a...a big problem. It splits our neighborhood in half. We always presented our neighborhood as one neighborhood. We have one CC&Rs, we have one Homeowner Association. I, myself, live in one of the phases of the development that was not designated, not shown on the map, as rural residential. And our testimony consistently, throughout all of this process, has involved owners from throughout our neighborhood, all four phases. When the map came out that way, and I was surprised, I talked to the...the then Chair of the Maui Planning Commission, and he agreed with me, that they voted on the entirety of our neighborhood. And I was led to believe from that, that he had communicated that to Director McLean. So I continue to be surprised as to why the map has not been redrawn to reflect that the Planning Commission recommendation that the entirety of our neighborhood, should be rural residential. We are part, as you said, of Kapalua Resort, Kapalua Resort Association, which represents all of the owners within Kapalua Resort, have provided testimony throughout this process supporting our efforts. So we are hopeful that you all within the Council will look carefully and seek to get the map fixed to accurately reflect the Planning Commission recommendation, and then hopefully agree with the Planning Commission that we should be rural residential. It perfectly fit the description in the Plan, perfectly fits what we are. We're a large-lot residential community, some family farms, estates, and we actually have one commercial farm within our neighborhood as well. One...and that...the owner of that has provided testimony to you as well, supporting the rural residential land use designation.
- COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Thank you. So I'm going to make that amendment to hopefully correct this for your community. So thank you very much.

June 3, 2021

MR. KINDRED: Thank you.

CHAIR PALTIN: Member King.

- VICE-CHAIR KING: Thank you, Chair. Thanks for being here, Mr. Kindred, and sharing your issues with us again. Are you...are you requesting...is the...the neighborhood that you're representing requesting rezoning? Or are you --
- MR. KINDRED: Not at this point in time.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: So you want your land use designation to be rural, but your zoning would still be agriculture?
- MR. KINDRED: Correct, it would be. So it's been our plan that we would ultimately seek a rezoning. Ninety-seven percent of our owners favor that in...in the polling net survey work that we've done. But we were advised, going back to the Maui Island Plan, which put us in the rural growth boundary, that we had to go step-by-step. First, the Island Plan rural growth boundary, then the Community Plan rural residential designation, then go to seek a rezoning. So it's a long process, and we understand that a rezoning effort will also be a public process, so any...anybody that objects to that, will certainly have an opportunity at that point in time to come provide testimony against us, if that's their desire.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Okay. So the...but the...but so 97 percent of the area that you represent is willing to be rezoned rural, and then pay the higher property taxes as rural versus ag?
- MR. KINDRED: We're willing. Yes, we're...we've got 97 percent in favor of the rural residential designation.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: ... (inaudible). . . percentage. Thank you for sharing that.

MR. KINDRED: It's an overwhelming view within our neighborhood.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Thank you.

- CHAIR PALTIN: Members, further questions for the testifier? I did have one question. But Mr. Kindred, are you aware that in the process of creating the agricultural subdivision if public input was allowed during that process?
- MR. KINDRED: I do not know, because that was done by the developer of our...of our association, as far back as 1990. I mean, my personal view, Chair Paltin, is that you should change or enact ordinances to require public hearings for new agricultural subdivisions. But that doesn't seem to be that that's the case. So today we're here. We're just owner...we're just members of the community that live here. We don't...we were not involved in developing this property, that was Maui Land and Pineapple. That was 30-some years ago. And we, today, don't have any of our properties that are owned

June 3, 2021

by the original developer. We...so...I...I don't know that the answer to your question as to how...what the process was that...that...that transpired to...for the development of Plantation Estates. I've looked at the various subdivision agreements, but I don't know what the process beyond the Planning Department and County approvals were for it.

- CHAIR PALTIN: Thank you. Seeing no further questions, thank you for providing us with your testimony today, Mr. Kindred.
- MR. KINDRED: Mahalo for the opportunity.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Sure thing. Next up, we have Ms. Faith Chase, who will be followed by Will Spence. Ms. Chase, we're ready for your three minutes.
- MS. CHASE: E kala mai...I'm just listening. Thank you.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Thank you. Next up, we have Will Spence. Mr. Spence, we're ready for your three minutes.
- MR. SPENCE: Okay. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Members, and aloha. I'm here this morning at the request of Plantation Estates to give my viewpoints on the rural designation of their properties, but really, these are my viewpoints as a land use planner for...for over 30 years. I support the redesignation to rural for the entire subdivision, and this is why. First, if...in your document, if you compare the proposed definitions of rural and agriculture, they're very different. Agriculture calls to preserve agricultural resources, character, et cetera, and the photographs illustrating the definition shows rows of coffee, orchards, a vineyard, and crops. There's only one photo in those...in that definition that shows houses, and that is in the middle of the Kā'anapali coffee farm. But in contrast, the rural designation specifically identifies residential as a primary use. It includes large-lot subdivisions, and it specifically names estate housing. If you look at the illustrated photos, all of them have homes in them. As applied to Plantation Estates, it's a whole heck of a lot closer to rural, as...than it is to agriculture. The...these are...what's in Plantation Estates are three...or excuse me, \$4 to \$8 million homes. A lot of them have infinity pools, and it's all centered around this world-class golf course. That, to me, is not agriculture, it's super-luxury estate housing. It's rural by the definition that's proposed in the plan, it is most definitely not agriculture. The...the second reason, you know, there's been a lot said about protecting agricultural resources, and I agree with those sentiments. I've heard the thoughts that somehow by calling these subdivisions as agriculture, that will somehow protect the resources. Personally, I think it does the opposite. Here, you have County documents, and it identifies these luxury estates as agriculture, and I don't think that's right. I think if it does anything, it may actually encourage this kind of land use in the future, because it...it says, this is acceptable to the County, and I don't think it should be acceptable to the County. If...that, in itself, to me, is rewarding that behavior. But if you start calling these things rural, recognizing them for what they are, then you have a basis for legislation, that when new landowners come in and they want to do this kind of land use, you have a basis. You can write legislation and say okay, this is a rural subdivision, it's not agriculture . . . (timer sounds). . . and you can require that it go through the change in

June 3, 2021

zoning process, which of course is a public process. So Madam Chair, that's my testimony. I thank you for the opportunity.

- CHAIR PALTIN: Thank you, Mr. Spence. Members, any questions for the testifier? Seeing none, thank you for your testimony. I see the --
- MR. SPENCE: Mahalo.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Thank you. I see the time is 12:02, so I don't want to...I know I'm getting four days in a week and a half, about, so I don't want to overstep my boundaries here, and I don't want to go into you guys' lunch hour. So I'm willing to recess this meeting and readjourn [*sic*] it on June 14th, 2021, a Monday. Yes, Member Rawlins-Fernandez?
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. I see one more person signed up to testify. I'm willing to stay for our last testifier.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. Is everyone okay to stay? Yes? All right. Okay, Mr. Mayer, we're going to fit you in there.
- MR. MAYER: Okay. I do not want to get between you and dinner, or lunch. Very, very quickly. Two items. One is I would hope that the Appendix with all the land use designations could be put into Chapter 3 at the beginning, so the maps will be understandable. But more importantly, the maps themselves are unclear as to what the boundaries are for all of those colors on the map. If you look at it, you will see that there are various areas colored. Some of the areas, for example, have all the streets in them, others do not. So when there are no streets or anything else, you don't know...for example, the area you just looked at, Mauka...Kapalua Mauka, you'll see yellows and greens there, but you don't know which property is in which color without the boundaries. So I would urge you to please have the Department...they may have all those boundary lines, TMKs, et cetera, in their files, but unless it's part of the plan, you haven't approved it. So I would urge you to make that map very clear, and I'll keep it very short. Thank you.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Thank you, Mr. Mayer. Members, any questions for the testifier? Seeing no questions, I believe Mr. Mayer is the last testifier who has signed up. There's no...
- MR. MAYER: Bon appétit.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Huh?
- MR. MAYER: Bon appétit.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Oh. Well, if there's no...I'll make a last call for any public testifier, if there's anyone that would like to testify at this time. Seeing none, I'll close oral public testimony. It is able to...everyone is able to provide written testimony at any time throughout the entire proceedings. So you know, as we're talking in real time, you can sign up to mauicounty.us/agendas and provide eComment testimony as we go through the process. Yes, Member King?

... END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY ...

- VICE-CHAIR KING: Chair, didn't you say that you'd be taking testimony on Monday, and then you were telling the people that testified today, they wouldn't be allowed to testify again on Monday? I thought we --
- CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah, if we had a...such a long list, but the list has been exhausted. So that's --
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Oh, so your intent was just to take the testimony today. If we had carryover, we would take it on Monday. But you're going to...oh, okay.

CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah.

- VICE-CHAIR KING: I understood that wrong.
- CHAIR PALTIN: But if there's nobody else signed up to testify, then you know, nobody else to testify.
- VICE-CHAIR KING: Okay. No, I just...I understood...I...I thought you were saying that if people wanted to testify on Monday, they could still testify, but they wouldn't be able to testify both times. So I didn't realize --
- CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah. That...that as well. People will still be allowed to testify on Monday through eComment.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Okay.

CHAIR PALTIN: But orally (audio interference) will be closed.

VICE-CHAIR KING: Ah. Oh, yeah. Okay. All right.

- CHAIR PALTIN: Because nobody else was on the list. And so the...this meeting will recess until 9:00 a.m. on June 14th. And then I wanted to give an opportunity to Member Rawlins-Fernandez to mention any announcement that she had about tomorrow's meeting.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. So tomorrow is our second and final reading of Budget. And in your survival kit, you received a Thing-1 and Thing-2, which you were instructed to not open until instructed to. And tomorrow will be the day, so if you could please put your Thing-1 and -2 into your refrigerator in preparation for tomorrow. And then you'll receive further instructions tomorrow.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. So my Thing-1 and Thing-2 are at my house in the refrigerator. Should I bring them tomorrow to the office and put it in our office refrigerator?

June 3, 2021

COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Yes. Wherever you'll be.

- CHAIR PALTIN: Okay. All right. So any...that's been clarified, and hopefully we all remember to do that. Member Sugimura. Oh, lost her. Okay. So this meeting...this meeting will be recessed until 9:00 a.m., June 14th. This meeting --
- COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Sorry, I pressed the wrong...don't...don't recess yet. Or don't adjourn or recess. So I have a question from the community. I got a text message, saying that based upon what you said when you...before you took the public testimony of four people or three people, that...that they could testify on June 14th, so they did not sign up, I guess, to testify, and they were thinking they could testify later.

CHAIR PALTIN: Yes. (audio interference)

- COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: So same question that Kelly King had. It was interpreted in the...by, you know, the community, that they could come and testify later. But you're closing all testimony for the next meeting?
- CHAIR PALTIN: They...they sure can testify via eComment, but we exhausted the list, and I made a last call, and so oral testimony is closed. But yes, please send in written testimony. You can email all the Members, you can send in eComment. But --

COUNCILMEMBER SUGIMURA: Ah. Okay.

- CHAIR PALTIN: -- you know, we went through the list. Member Rawlins-Fernandez.
- COUNCILMEMBER RAWLINS-FERNANDEZ: Mahalo, Chair. What I heard you say was, we're going to try to get through as much testimony as we can today, and if we needed to, we could also take testimony on Monday. And so that...that's what I heard you say.
- CHAIR PALTIN: Yeah. So I mean, we exhausted the list, and at the time when I said any further testifiers, that would have been the time. And then, you know, I didn't want to go too much into Members' lunch break. So then the intention was to recess the meeting and take oral testimony. But if we exhausted the list and nobody stepped forward at the time when I said further testimony, that's generally how it works. But people, please do feel free to provide testimony via eComment. I know I...I look at it and read it all, and...and we can have, you know, clarifications. So at this time, this meeting is in recess at 12:10 p.m., June 3rd, 2021, and we will continue on June 14th at 9:00 a.m., starting with Maps, Subarea 4. Thank you. ...(gavel)...

RECESS: 12:10 p.m.

June 3, 2021

APPROVED:

Jamana d. M. Baltin

TAMARA PALTIN, Chair Planning and Sustainable Land Use Committee

pslu:min:210603_930am

Transcribed by: Kaliko Reed

June 3, 2021

CERTIFICATION

I, Kaliko Reed, hereby certify that pages 1 through 12 of the foregoing represents, to the best of my ability, a true and correct transcript of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not in any way concerned with the cause.

DATED the 6th day of July 2021, in Wailuku, Hawai'i

Esteer

Kaliko Reed